Senator Carson, Representative Tucker, and members of the Joint Standing Committee on the Environment and Natural Resources, the Natural Resources Council of Maine presents to you resolutions passed in 2019 and 2020 by Maine’s municipal governments in support for the adoption of an Extended Producer Responsibility for Packaging law.

Please find enclosed the resolutions listed in the table below. These resolutions represent more than 211,000 Maine people.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOWN</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>LEGISLATORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLUE HILL</td>
<td>2,686</td>
<td>Rep. Pebworth, Sen. Luchini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELLSWORTH</td>
<td>7,741</td>
<td>Rep. Grohoski, Sen. Luchini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUBEC</td>
<td>1,359</td>
<td>Rep. Tuell, Sen. Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCARBOROUGH</td>
<td>18,919</td>
<td>Rep. Talbot Ross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREMONT</td>
<td>1,563</td>
<td>Rep. McDonald, Sen. Luchini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRENTON</td>
<td>1,481</td>
<td>Rep. Grohoski, Sen. Luchini</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Portland City Council’s Legislative Committee has directed City staffers to testify in support of LD 2104 in lieu of adopting a municipal resolution.
Resolution to support Municipal Recycling Programs

Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,

We, The Select Board of the Town of Bethel, Maine, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;
More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

01/06/2020

[Signatures]
Peter Southam
Lloyd Sweetser
Lori Swain
Michele Vareulo-Cole
Andrew Whitney
We, Maine’s municipalities, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

**More effective:** Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

**More sustainable:** An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and

**More equitable:** Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Vaughn Leach, Select Board Chair

[Signature]

Ellen Best

[Signature]

James Dow
TOWN COUNCIL

Resolution Supporting Recycling Reform for Maine

Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved, that the Town Council of Brunswick, Maine, supports an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431).

We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

➢ More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

➢ More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not
resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and

- More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Voted by the Town Council of Brunswick, Maine this 21st day of October, 2019

/s/ John M. Perreault

John M. Perreault, Chair – Town Council
Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,

We, Maine’s municipalities, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Camden Select Board 12/17/2019

Robert Falciani, Chairperson

Marc Ratner, Board Member

Taylor Bemis, Board Member

Alison McKellar, Vice Chairperson

Jenna Lockner, Board Member
City of Ellsworth - Recycling Reform Proclamation

Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs.

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved:

The City of Ellsworth, a municipality of Maine, does hereby support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they did not create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed – and the packaging manufactures that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Dale Hamilton, City Council Chair/Mayor  

2/11/2020  

Date:
Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be ItResolved,

We, Maine’s municipalities, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

[Signature]
Harpswell Board of Selectmen December 19, 2019
Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,

We, the Town of Kennebunkport, Maine, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity
Adoption of a resolution in support of developing an “Extended Producer Responsibility for Packaging” law

prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and

**More equitable:** Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

**Resolved:**

Selectmen:  

Selectmen:  

Selectmen:  

Selectmen:  

Selectmen:  

Date Resolved: 9-26-2019
Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,

We, Maine’s municipalities, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;
More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Given under our hands the 5th day of August, A.D. 2019

Wade Browne

John Dill

Morgan Dunham

Walter Kilbreth

Raymond Meldrum

Board of Selectmen, Town of Kingfield

A true copy of resolution:

FaithAnne Gould, Town Clerk
COUNCIL RESOLVE

Resolve, Calling Upon the Maine Legislature to Support an Extended Producer Responsibility for Packaging Law.

Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and, in some cases, are eliminating recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimate $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling, and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and the municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all of the responsibility for managing post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved,

The City Council of the City of Lewiston supports an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of LD 1431, A Resolve To Support Municipal Recycling Programs. We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective. Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine; and

More sustainable. An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and
More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed -- and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Be It Further Resolved, that

The City Clerk shall provide copies of this Resolve to the Members of the Legislature’s Committee on Environment and Natural Resources and the Lewiston Legislative Delegation.
Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs; Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,

We, Town of Limerick Municipality, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.
Given under our hands on this 9th day of September, 2019

Roland C. LePage Jr.

Joanne L. Andrews

Gilbert S. Harris

Wendy M. Thorne

Dorothy M. Richard

Board of Selectmen, Town of Limerick
Resolution for the Town of Lubec

Whereas, Maine's communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine's municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,
We, the select board of the Town of Lubec, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:
More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine's cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn't create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

This Resolve is signed and supported by the Lubec Board of Selectmen

Carol Dennison – Chairman
Daniel Wagner - Vice Chairman
Rachel Rubecor- Selectman
Jason Evasius- Selectman
Sonja Bailey- Selectman
Resolution of the Select Board of the Town of Manchester, Maine
Regarding Recycling Reform for Maine

Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are
eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes
approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste
management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16
million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragments and
increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or
increase access to recycling and there is no organized coordination between the producers of
packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the package
materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the
management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European
Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased
recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,

We, Maine’s municipalities, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging
law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support
Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling
in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to
produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling
programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who
manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in
recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when
global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to
fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns
and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and
More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed — and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

SELECT BOARD
TOWN OF MANCHESTER, MAINE

Robert Gasper

Paula Thomas

Dawn Kliphan

Doug Ide

Garry Hinkley
Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved,

We, Maine’s municipalities, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Signed this 17th day of September, 2019

Mary-Beth Taylor, Chairperson
Suzyette Moulton, Vice-Chairperson
Joseph Cimino
Stephen Robinson
Stanley Tetenman
RESOLUTION 19-001
TO SUPPORT MUNICIPAL RECYCLING PROGRAMS [LD1431]

WHEREAS, Maine's communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and,

WHEREAS product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine's municipal waste management programs; and,

WHEREAS Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and,

WHEREAS producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and,

WHEREAS producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, We, the Town of Scarborough, Maine, supports an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine; and,

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and,

More equitable: Maine's cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn't create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed–and the packaging manufacturers that produce less wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Signed and dated this 6th day of November, 2019, on behalf of the Scarborough Town Council and the Town Manager of Scarborough, Maine.

Signed by: Peter F. Hayes
Council Chair

Attested by: Yolande P. Justice
Town Clerk
WHEREAS, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

WHEREAS, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

WHEREAS, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

WHEREAS, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

WHEREAS, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces, and as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs; and

WHEREAS, changes in the global recycling markets affected the local waste stream and directly impacted South Portland through more stringent restrictions on clean recycling loads and subsequent contamination fees; and

WHEREAS, South Portland has demonstrated leadership in waste reduction programs, policies, and practices through the Polystyrene Ban and Plastic Bag Fee, the state’s first citywide food waste recycling program, and a cross-jurisdictional recycling internship program; and
WHEREAS, South Portland remains committed to providing waste reduction and recycling services combined with robust, citywide outreach and education campaigns to foster a better understanding in the community of how to recycle properly; and

WHEREAS, South Portland has a goal to increase the City’s recycling rate to 40% by 2020 through purposeful purchasing, reuse, recycling, and composting and will outline actions to minimize waste by changing how our community consumes and disposes goods and materials in the One Climate Future plan;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved, We, the City of South Portland, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed- and the packaging manufacturers that produce less-wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less that those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.
Resolution
Of the Tremont Board of Selectmen

Whereas, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating, recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and

Whereas, product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and

Whereas, Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and

Whereas, producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs;

Now, Therefore Be It Resolved, We, Maine’s municipalities, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine;

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced stop or restrict their programs; and
More equitable: Maine's cities, towns, and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed—and the packaging manufacturers that produce less wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.

Tremont Board of Selectmen

Jamie Thurlow, Chair

Michael Mansolilli, Vice-Chair

Kevin Buck

Howard Goodwin

McKenzie Jewett
February 12, 2020

Sarah K. Nichols
Sustainable Maine Director
3 Wade Street, Augusta, Maine 04330

Whereas the Town of Trenton Maine recognizes the need for responsible management of our municipal solid waste, and the town recognizes the relationship of solid waste production to consumer activity and producer packaging choice, as well as the effect of Solid Waste Management on long and short-term fiscal, environmental, and health outcomes for the citizens of Trenton and other Maine municipalities, the Select Board has voted in support of the State’s continuing exploration of the concept of Expanded Producer Responsibility.

Very truly yours,

R. Frederick Ehrenbach
Chair, Board of Selectmen
RESOLUTION 19-001
TO SUPPORT MUNICIPAL RECYCLING PROGRAMS (LD1431)

WHEREAS, Maine’s communities are struggling to maintain, expand, and in some cases are eliminating recycling programs due to rising costs and difficult-to-manage materials; and,

WHEREAS product packaging, which includes plastic, metal, glass, and cardboard, constitutes approximately 30-40% of the materials managed by weight in Maine’s municipal waste management programs; and,

WHEREAS Maine taxpayers currently unfairly bear 100% of the cost and pay an estimated $16 million to $17 million each year to finance the management of this material through fragmented and increasingly expensive disposal or recycling options; and,

WHEREAS producers of product packaging have little incentive to minimize wasteful packaging or increase access to recycling; and there is no organized coordination between the producers of packaging and municipalities that are responsible for disposing of or recycling the packaging materials; and,

WHEREAS producers of product packaging have taken some or all responsibility for the management of post-consumer packaging in other parts of the world, including all European Union member states and five provinces in Canada, and, as a result, have greatly increased recycling rates, expanded infrastructure investment, created jobs, and reduced taxpayer costs.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, We, the Town of Whitefield, Maine, support an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for Packaging law as endorsed by the Legislature in 2019 through the passage of the Resolve, To Support Municipal Recycling Programs (LD 1431). We believe that this policy will work to make recycling in Maine:

More effective: Producers of packaging materials would have a direct economic incentive to produce less-wasteful packaging that can easily and profitably be managed by municipal recycling programs. Having shared responsibility between those who create the waste and those who manage the waste would foster recycling system improvements and enable greater participation in recycling across Maine; and,

More sustainable: An EPR law for packaging is an insurance policy for Maine municipalities when global recycling markets are unfavorable. The current approach to recycling is not resilient to fluctuations in the global recycling market. When commodity prices fall unexpectedly, towns and cities may be forced to stop or restrict their programs; and,

More equitable: Maine’s cities, towns and taxpayers are currently footing the bill for a problem they didn’t create. With recycling reform, taxpayers will no longer pay for the cost of recycling since the net costs of recycling would be reimbursed and the packaging manufacturers that produce less wasteful, more recyclable packaging would pay less than those who do not. This is a much more equitable way to distribute costs.
Signed and dated 19th day of November 2019 by the Select Board of Whitefield, Maine.

Lester Sheaffer, Chair
William McKeen, Vice Chair

Charlene Donahue
Lise Hanners

Keith Sanborn

TRUE AND ATTESTED
Charlene Donahue
TOWN CLERK