Fourteen towns in the region have rescinded their prior support or come out in opposition to CMP’s proposed transmission line:

- Alna (rescinded letter of support)
- Caratunk (rescinded letter of support)
- Dennistown (wrote letter in opposition to project)
- Durham (Select Board rescinded support)
- Embden (Select Board rescinded support)
- Farmington (town residents voted to rescind support and oppose the project)
- Jackman (town residents voted to oppose)
- Moose River (town residents voted to oppose)
- Pownal (Select Board rescinded support)
- Starks (town residents voted to not support line)
- The Forks (town residents voted to oppose)
- West Forks (town residents voted to oppose)
- Wilton (town residents voted to rescind support and oppose the project)
- Wiscasset (rescinded letter of support)
Alna selectmen pull support for town being CMP project 'Sherpa' 

Carroll: Without Massachusetts deal, Maine gets '100 percent of nothing'

By SUSAN JOHNS
Posted: Friday, October 5, 2018 - 12:00pm

Central Maine Power no longer has Alna's support to expand the transmission lines that run through it and some of its neighbor towns. After hearing from CMP's project spokesman, selectmen voted 3-0 Oct. 3 to retract a 2017 letter of support.

John Carroll wanted to meet with selectmen after learning last month they were considering retracting it. Resident Cathy Johnson asked them to. After the Oct. 3 vote, she thanked them.

Arguing minutes earlier for last year's letter to stick, Carroll said the project bringing Canadian hydropower to Massachusetts means a first 10-year benefit to Maine of $1 billion in jobs, property taxes, energy cost savings and a higher gross domestic product, and cleaner air for all of New England. The states' collective grid needs more and cleaner power to keep the supply reliable and cut greenhouse gases, he said.
Third Selectman Doug Baston said he wants the plan slowed down so Maine can get more out of it. He compared Massachusetts to a big game hunter; and Maine is being asked to issue the hunting license, he said. "We're kind of the Sherpas in the middle just carrying."

Carroll said one year of economic benefits to Maine "outstrips" the 40-year funding commitment to Massachusetts. He said the bidding is over and CMP cannot negotiate a different deal. If the project doesn't happen, Maine will get 100 percent of nothing, he told the board.

As for CMP's projected $386,600 in new taxes for Alna starting in 2023, Baston said the assessor the town uses for CMP has advised caution with the figure.

If the state doesn't push for a better bargain, the little towns need to, Baston said. "I want this to be real." Nothing in the plan gives Maine workers preference on the jobs, he said.

Carroll responded, if a large company comes in, it will hire locally.

Also Oct. 3, the board announced Linda Verney has been hired as a deputy town clerk. She replaces Janice Bradford, who resigned for personal reasons, First Selectman Melissa Spinney said.

Selectmen started an account to move historic buildings' reserve funds into each time the town decides to tap them. That brings the accounting in line with other reserves, selectmen said.

One of Alna's representatives to Sheepscot Valley Regional School Unit 12, Ralph Hilton, said the unit wants to know what news the member towns would like to be getting and how. Selectmen told him they would like the website improved. Hilton agreed. "It's virtually impossible to find the information ... you'd really like to have," Hilton said. He said he will tell the RSU.
Re: Comments on CMP’s Application permit for the New England Clean Energy Connect (“NECEC”) from Québec-Maine Border to Lewiston and Related Network Upgrades

To whom it may concern,

Please accept these comments regarding Central Maine Power Company’s application for the New England Clean Energy Connect project. The Town of Caratunk is within the project boundaries and is, therefore, directly and indirectly affected.

On March 21, 2018, a CMP representative pitched a brief, overview presentation to the Caratunk Selectmen regarding this project. The representative was looking for a letter of support for their application. Later that week, Caratunk sent in a general letter of support. However, since that time, much information has been brought to light. The Selectmen share great concerns for the welfare of Caratunk residents as well as the State of Maine should this project be brought to fruition.

1. The Town of Caratunk has had no representation in this mitigation proceedings. The Town of Caratunk wants to participate and be represented in permitting decisions for this application because the Town is within project boundaries.

2. The Town of Caratunk has not been contacted or asked to be on the board of the LLC handling the mitigation funds. CMP’s presentation stated that the Town and community would receive benefits, yet instead, a few CMP representatives privately negotiated only with a few isolated business owners.

3. Should this project go forth as stated, Maine energy would be locked up and prevented from engaging in any future renewable energy generation projects. Caratunk has already twice supported NextEra for a solar farm within its boundaries. This DC line blocks access to solar or other energy projects in Caratunk and Somerset County. One such solar project lost in direct competition to this NECEC. The valuation benefit from CMP’s additional transmission lines does not even compare to a large solar project in Caratunk. Caratunk is against the NECEC project if it prevents future renewable energy opportunities that provide for a huge tax benefit to all landowners and significantly increase the Caratunk valuation. Therefore, Caratunk sees this project as reducing its tax revenue.

4. This project is forcing 1000’ of transmission lines across a Scenic and Wild River, the Kennebec River, where Caratunk residents make their livelihoods in the whitewater rafting, fishing and hunting tourism industry. Tourists from all over the country travel to
the Kennebec for world class whitewater rafting and kayaking and remote fishing through the Kennebec gorge. Removing the Scenic and Wild and remote wilderness aspect of our area is a direct detriment to Caratunk residents and businesses.

5. Additionally, this corridor is negatively impacting native Maine nature and wildlife as many environmental groups and DIFW have attested.

6. This project is not benefiting the Maine ratepayer with lower rates or cleaner energy. In fact, it may increase greenhouse gas emissions. The Town of Caratunk and its citizens have an interest in lower energy costs and clean energy sources.

7. Evidence regarding Hydro-Quebec’s hydro intentions and practices suggest that the profits HQ intends to make on the NECEC would greatly influence its energy production or lack thereof for the grid and negatively impact Maine ratepayers. Caratunk opposes a project within its boundaries that is bad for Maine taxpayers and ratepayers.

8. This project is not financially beneficial to the Maine economy or its residents.

9. Independent PUC consultants have found that CMP’s project application boasts inflated economic and financial benefits. Similarly, our assessor, an instructor for Maine Revenue Services, confirms that CMP overinflates the proposed valuations in their presentations and that the lines are never assessed that high for tax purposes. Therefore, the tax property increase for Maine towns/cities is far less that CMP’s proposal advertised.

10. Green and environmental agencies, DIF&W as well as the Maine Legislature’s Committee of Environmental and Natural Resources and the Maine Legislature’s Committee on Energy, Utilities and Technology have stated valid and alarming concerns on this project.

We see this project as benefiting Hydro-Quebec and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts at the expense of Caratunk and the State of Maine. This should not be so. We ask that the DEP, LUPC, PUC, and Massachusetts DPU not be pressured into allowing this project to go forth. New Hampshire and Vermont protected their states from harmful and devious corporate overreaches. The Town of Caratunk opposes this harmful project, retracts its prior letter of support sent to the 83D selection committee, and asks the DEP, LUPC, PUC, and DPU to stand up for the Maine ratepayers, business owners and citizens by rejecting this proposed transmission line. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments in these proceedings.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Elizabeth Caruso
Select Board Chair
On behalf of Neil Katz, Garret Booth
Small Maine town resinds support for big CMP hydro project

By Lori Valigra, BDN Staff • September 7, 2018 1:00 am
Updated: September 7, 2018 12:22 pm

A town of 68 residents along the proposed hydropower corridor from Canada to Lewiston retracted its earlier letter of support for the Central Maine Power and Hydro-Quebec project, calling it harmful and saying it is not financially beneficial to the Maine economy or its residents.

In an Aug. 31 public comment letter to the Maine Public Utilities Commission, Elizabeth Caruso, chair of Caratunk’s selectboard, asked commissioners, the Maine Department of Environmental Protection, the Maine Land Use Planning Commission and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities not to allow the New England Clean Energy Connect, or NECEC, project to go forward.

Caruso also is expected to participate Friday in the second consecutive day of technical discussions about the case this week. Additional discussions will take place Sept. 14.

Caratunk is one of the towns along the NECEC route that earlier signed letters supporting the project. New Sharon is the only one that did not sign a letter, according to CMP spokesman John Carroll.

Caruso said Caratunk residents had second thoughts after comparing the benefits touted by CMP, including property tax benefits, with what Caratunk might lose, including not being able to build a solar array Caruso said would bring in more property tax revenue than she expects from the NECEC infrastructure.

“We have grave concerns for the welfare of the citizens and ratepayers should this project be brought to fruition,” Caruso told the Bangor Daily News.

A Maine Center for Business and Economic Research report prepared as part of CMP’s project submission to the PUC lists scenarios for new taxes for the project. Caratunk, which has a current property tax rate of $7.20 per $1,000 valuation, would have an adjusted rate of $5.21 under the NECEC new valuation, giving it $100,487 in projected new tax revenue annually.

The total amount cited in the report for the $950 million NECEC project is $18.38 million in new property tax revenue for the towns, unorganized territory and townships along the route. Lewiston, which would have the most new infrastructure in the project, would get the most: $8.39 million.
Caruso cites 10 issues of concern in her letter, including the valuation benefit of a possible new solar farm.

“Caratunk has already twice supported NextEra for a solar farm within its boundaries,” she wrote. “This DC [hydropower] line blocks access to solar or other energy projects in Caratunk and Somerset County. One such solar project lost [is] in direct competition to this NECEC.

“The valuation benefit from CMP’s additional transmission lines does not even compare to a large solar project in Caratunk. ... Therefore, Caratunk sees this project as reducing its tax revenue.”

She also began to question CMP’s tax revenue numbers after a discussion with town assessor Garnett Robinson.

Robinson was an assessor in Dixmont during an earlier large CMP project, the $1.4 billion Maine Power Reliability Program, an expansion program with construction starting in 2010 and ending in 2015.

He said when CMP applied for a permit to put lines through Dixmont in 2009, it estimated the value of the project at $24 million. But once the project was completed, CMP valued it at $8 million. The result, he said, is less tax money flowing into the town.

“I told Liz [Caruso] to be very skeptical during the permitting process if [CMP] is promising numbers,” he said. “And she should be involved in the permitting process.”

Caruso asked in her PUC letter to be represented in permitting decisions.

“As this case progresses, more information becomes known,” said Tanya Bodell, executive director of Energyzt, a Boston consultancy. She is an economist testifying as an intervenor at the PUC on Friday.

She said while nothing is set in stone yet regarding property taxes, “CMP has all the incentive in the world to decrease the numbers once it gets the appraisals. This should be a wake-up call that towns may not be getting that much. The property tax value could be less than originally assumed.”

Figuring taxes is tricky

CMP’s Carroll said he is disappointed that Caratunk withdrew its support. He has requested a meeting with the town to clarify what he said are misunderstandings in the letter submitted to the PUC. Nothing has been set up yet.

He also said the small plantation of West Forks plans to hold a meeting of townspeople next week to vote about whether or not they support the project. A West Forks selectman was not immediately available for comment.

Carroll said the NECEC project is not blocking Caratunk from building a solar array.
“The solar farm was included in one of our proposals to Massachusetts,” he said. “But Massachusetts didn’t pick that proposal because it didn’t suit their needs to have a line with wind and solar to Massachusetts.”

He said Caratunk still can build the solar array. It will have to find a different customer for the energy from it.

Carroll said it still could be a few years until the Maine Revenue Service, CMP and the towns agree upon exactly how the tax benefit of the NECEC project would be distributed.

The Maine Power Reliability Program was paid for mostly by CMP and in turn, by ratepayers. Carroll said the tax benefits from the project were socialized, or spread across all the ratepayer areas that benefited from it.

“It was built for CMP ratepayers who shared equally in its value,” he said of the difference between the permit value and the finished project value.

Since Massachusetts taxpayers are footing the bill for the transmission project, the distribution of tax benefits still has to be decided in Maine, he said. The Maine Center for Business and Economic Research report’s new property figures were estimates.

The proposed project still needs to be approved by the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities and the PUC.

The PUC will hold a series of meetings about the project through the fall. There will be a series of hearings Sept. 18-21. An important document that summarizes the case and gives PUC examiners’ recommendations is due out Nov. 9. Responses to that will be heard Nov. 16. The PUC commissioners are scheduled to deliberate the case Nov. 27.

The controversial project has drawn 285 filings, 74 data requests and 300 public comments to the PUC’s website.
October 26, 2018  
To whom it may concern, 

The citizens and Assessors of Dennistown Plantation have voted to oppose the CMP NECEC project due to our grave concerns about the project's impacts. 

If approved, the New England Clean Energy Connect (NECEC) corridor will forever impact our region, our environment, our tourist industry, our forest products industry, our economy, our families’ future, our seasonal residents’ future, and our very way of life. This region continues to attract generations of visitors because they want to experience the natural beauty of the upper Kennebec and Moose River Waterways, and the surrounding wilderness of western Maine. This region offers a unique respite from the challenges and stress of life in the city, and we want it to stay that way for future generations of residents and visitors. 

Some local sightlines will be spared, but the proposed transmission towers and lines will be visible from nearly every summit of nearly every peak in the Moose River Valley. They will cross some of the region's most pristine wilderness numerous times, hang over brooks, streams, rivers, and seasonal waterways crucial to all species of wildlife. The herbicides used to maintain the corridor will leach into the region's waterways, aquifers, and water tables. Our water is drawn from Big Wood Pond and it is fed by the Moose River. We do not need any more Herbicides than we already have polluting our drinking water. The environmental impact of the permanent deforestation component alone should alarm you as leaders of our town. Loss of oxygen to the valley, loss of shade to the fish, loss of canopy for birds and wildlife, loss of habitat for birds and mammals including deer yards and the loss of the unscarred views that make our area so special. 

The NECEC project will have potential impacts on the safety and security of the United States of America. The project will leave a wide open 150-300 foot hole in the northern border of our country requiring additional resources to guard to prevent illegal activities. The project will also make us less energy independent and more reliant on a foreign country for our energy needs. Giving foreign countries control of our power supply is not responsible nor in the best interest of our country.
This project will inevitably lead to more powerlines, an unknown number of wind turbines and other future developments that are industrial in nature and detrimental to our area and its’ wild untamed charm that keeps us here and brings in tourists and future residents.

Our area is not logistically equipped to handle the scope of the proposed project. The short term economic gains will be outweighed by the long term losses to our economy. The limited lodging, gathering places, eateries, and fuel pumps will be inundated by out of area workers, leaving little to no room for our longtime residents and tourists will look for alternative places to ride, boat, fish, hike, hunt, snowmobile, ATV, and get away from it all. Many of them will not come back once they find new places to recreate.

Another major issue is the current level of healthcare available to the workers during this multi-year project. The remote region of the corridor presents its own unique rescue challenges, and the level of medical treatment available may prove quite inadequate in the event of simultaneous traumatic injuries to multiple workers and residents. This would be an additional financial burden on our taxpayers. The remote locations could lead to a potential loss of life or property if the Fire Department and Ambulance are unable to respond to multiple calls simultaneously. There are no licensed nurses to support the one physician and one physician’s assistant covering the clinic.

These impacts along with many others show how this project will be an economic burden on us that will have no lasting benefits to our citizens, only benefits for out of state and foreign companies while we are negatively impacted.

Respectfully submitted,

The residents and Board of Assessors of Dennistown
January 5, 2019

Central Maine Power Company/Avangrid
83 Edison Drive
Augusta, ME 04601

Re: New England Clean Energy Connect Project, Embden, ME.

Dear Sir/Madam,

This letter is to advise your company that Embden’s Select Board has recently been presented with information by a group of concerned citizens including neighbors from the Forks/Caratunk region, abutting corridor property owners in town, and other Embden residents attempting to educate themselves with the pros/cons of this major expansion project. The list of concerns with the NECEC project being voiced and disseminated includes but is not limited to: Environmental degradation, wildlife harm, human health impairment, view shed deterioration, property value loss, potentially overstated property valuation estimates, and seemingly non-transparent future plans for the corridor.

Consequently, this has led our Board of Selectpersons to take a neutral stance on the project going forward. Therefore, this communication is intended to supersede the letter of support submitted by the Town of Embden during Avangrid’s initial “bid” phase. Thank you for understanding our position in response to what we feel to be very serious concerns.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Charles E. Taylor
Select Board Chairman
Town of Embden
Farmington residents vote to oppose CMP power line, despite pitch by Mills

Voters hear from Gov. Janet Mills, whose hometown is Farmington, on why she supports the controversial project.

BY RACHEL OHM, MORNING SENTINEL
March 25, 2019

FARMINGTON — Residents Monday voted overwhelmingly to oppose a proposed 145-mile transmission line through western Maine following a debate that included comments from Gov. Janet Mills on her support of the project.

The vote was 262-102 to oppose the New England Clean Energy Connect project in a secret ballot vote at the annual Town Meeting at the Farmington Community Center.

During about 45 minutes of debate, Mills, whose hometown is Farmington, said she supports the project because it will provide $346 million in electricity market benefits in Maine in the first 15 years and will reduce CO2 emissions by about 360 metric tons per year.

She also cited the local benefits, including an estimated $436,000 in new annual tax revenue from the project in Farmington, $5 million from Central Maine Power to economic development in Franklin County and $5 million in scholarships for local students.

In addition, Mills cited the support of groups such as the Acadia Foundation and Conservation Law Center who have signed on to supporting the project.
“Are there trade offs?” Mills said. “Sure. There always are. But the fundamental question to me is, ‘Do we want to be pouring money down the drain fattening the pockets of big oil and big gas companies the rest of our lives?’ The answer is no.”

Ultimately, she said residents should make their own decisions on how they wanted to vote, but asked them to “consider certain irrefutable facts.”

She said hydropower is one of the cleanest forms of energy; that the power would be coming from Canada, a country that is still a part of the Paris Agreement on climate change and has an interest in reducing emissions; and that the hydropower line will help bring down electricity prices throughout the region, including in Farmington.

Mills also talked about what the line, which is proposed to run from northern Somerset County, through Franklin County and on to Lewiston, will look like.

“It’s not the New Jersey Turnpike now and it won’t be the New Jersey Turnpike,” Mills said. “It’s widest parts from what I’ve read in the record is less than half the width of the New Jersey Turnpike.”

Prior to her speaking, some residents said they were against the line because of its impact to the environment in Franklin County.

“I have spent 30 years plus going to a log cabin between The Forks and Jackman nine miles in on dirt lumber roads,” said resident Wendy Huish. “You’re in the wilderness there… do you realize the corridor they are going to make is going to be as wide as the Jersey Turnpike? The towers are going to be huge.”

“I was born here and lived here all my life,” said resident Jon Bubier. “I know lots of people on both sides put thought into this but I don’t think Farmington should be neutral. I think (we should oppose it.) It not only strips us of who we
are in western Maine, it puts us in a situation where it’s like a knife cutting through all of Franklin County.”

Resident Dennis O’Neil said he supports the CMP line because it’s a step towards less reliance on fossil fuels.

“It’s not a perfect solution, but I applaud the governor for her efforts,” O’Neil said.

The vote rescinds a letter of support the Board of Selectmen previously wrote in support of the project and authorizes them to submit to the Maine Public Utilities Commission, one of the permitting agencies for the project, a letter opposing it.

In other news Monday, residents also voted to approve an ordinance putting in place regulations for marijuana related businesses and approved an overall $6.1 million municipal budget.

A statement encouraging Franklin County commissioners to reverse their policy aimed at eliminating county funding for nonprofit social services was also approved, as was $17,998 in funding for such services, including groups like Western Maine Community Action and Western Maine Transportation.

Several residents said they support paying for such services, including Paula Widmer, who said she relies on Western Maine Transportation to get her to doctor’s appointments.

Lately, she said the group has had to cut back on their bus routes due to budgetary constraints.

“We hear a lot about these cuts in the national news and it’s really sad to sit here in this town and hear the same thing,” Widmer said.
In elections, Selectman Josh Bell, who currently serves as the chair of the board, was re-elected with 130 votes. Selectman Michael Fogg was also re-elected with 170 votes. Both are three-year terms.

Douglas Dunlap, a member of the Regional School Unit 9 board of directors, was re-elected to a three-year term with 138 votes.

Isaac Raymond was elected to a three-year term with 92 votes.

Dennis O’Neil was elected to a two-year term on the RSU 9 board of directors with 149 votes.

All races were uncontested.
Jackman joins resistance against CMP power line project

BY MEG ROBBINS, MORNING SENTINEL

November 29, 2018

JACKMAN — This Somerset County town has joined a number of towns and organizations throughout the state in opposing Central Maine Power Co.’s proposed transmission line that would bring hydropower from Quebec to Massachusetts via western Maine.

Residents voted 78-11 against the project Wednesday night in a special town meeting at the Forest Hills Consolidated School gymnasium.

The move comes as state agencies prepare to vote on whether to issue permits for the project, a process that was supposed to be underway by now but has been delayed multiple times after CMP submitted incomplete applications or failed to provide information in a timely manner.

The transmission line, called New England Clean Energy Connect, would provide 1,200 megawatts to power to roughly 1 million homes in Massachusetts. While its $950 million budget would be funded by Massachusetts electricity customers, the 145-mile-long infrastructure — plus updates to an existing 50-mile line — would run through 38 communities in Somerset, Franklin and Androscoggin counties. CMP owns the land where the new line would be installed.

Jackman borders two of the communities on the corridor, Bradstreet Township and Parlin Pond Township, but the proposed power line would not pass directly through the town.

Voters in Jackman said they thought the project would “damage Maine’s environment, wildlife habitat, water quality, scenic views, and tourism economy; and permanently harm their way of life,” according to a news release from the Natural Resources Council of Maine, a conservation group that has taken a prominent stance against the project.
Jackman Town Manager Victoria Forkus declined to comment immediately on stances taken at the town meeting or to provide a list of voters in attendance. She said the Jackman Select Board will issue a news release on the topic on or before Dec. 13 after voting on its wording.

As of May, CMP had received letters of support that it solicited from 37 communities that the power line passes through. Since then, Alna and Caratunk have rescinded their letters of support. New Sharon did not sign a letter in the first place. West Forks Plantation and The Forks Plantation voted to oppose the project.

“More and more towns are retracting their support and voicing opposition to the transmission line,” said Sue Ely, a clean energy attorney for the Natural Resources Council of Maine, in a news release. “As each new town, business or political leader announces their opposition, it becomes clearer that Maine does not want this project.”

John Carroll, a spokesman for CMP’s parent company Avangrid, said the mounting resistance from towns in or near the corridor is not a pressing concern for the organization at this point.

“Our focus is with the (state agencies),” he said. “We need to address their concerns, so that’s where our focus is right now.”

Carroll attended the special town meeting in Jackman on Wednesday and said that people expressed concern that the project would “somehow hurt some of their businesses.”

“We hope the public understands the benefits that this project brings to Maine,” Carroll said. “There will always be people who reject change, but in the long run, we believe that this is the right thing for Maine and New England and we will continue to work with the communities.”

Those in favor of the project, including a group of business and labor leaders called Mainers for Clean Energy Jobs, cited the potential for job creation and tax revenue as perks. The project is expected to generate $18 million annually for Maine communities through property taxes, according to CMP. The company also has stated that its transmission line would create roughly 1,700 temporary construction jobs, most of which would go to Mainers.
Some people opposed to the plan noted that proposals for routing the line through Vermont and New Hampshire offered better benefits to those states. Those proposals included upward of $200 million in mitigation to offset the disruptions the power line would have caused those states.

John Carroll, NECEC project manager, addressed this point in May.

“The difference in cost is entirely based on how thoughtful the three projects were,” he said. “Our project was carefully cited to maximize the existing infrastructure and minimize community impact. That’s reflected in the cost.”

The project has received pushback over its anticipated effects on the environment and potential to mar the natural beauty that powers much of Maine’s tourism industry. The location where the line would cross the Kennebec River Gorge became a particular point of contention. Initially, CMP planned to build the wires 200 feet above the stretch of water, popular for whitewater rafting. In October, the company conceded to critics by agreeing to drill under the river instead and bury that section of the line. This would increase the project budget by $37 million — and reduce mitigation funds CMP offered to Somerset County by upward of $12 million. It had formerly offered the county $22 million for conservation projects and economic development.

At a rally in Augusta in September, protesters voiced concern over what the project actually would contribute to Mainers and whether the project would thwart the state’s ability to develop renewable energy. According to a letter from the Caratunk Select Board, one of the town’s concerns was that the CMP line already has prevented the town from being able to build a solar farm that would bring in more tax revenue.

“Caratunk has already twice supported NextEra for a solar farm within its boundaries,” the letter states. “One such solar project lost in direct competition to this NECEC. … Caratunk is against the NECEC project if it prevents future renewable energy opportunities that provide for a huge tax benefit to all landowners and significantly increase the Caratunk valuation.”
The plan needs approval from Maine’s Public Utilities Commission, the Department of Environmental Protection and the Land Use Planning Commission, all of which will make decisions in the coming months about whether it can go forward.
October 14, 2018

To whom it may concern,

The citizens of the Town of Moose River and Board of Selectman have voted to oppose the CMP NECEC project due to our grave concerns about the projects impacts.

If approved, the New England Clean Energy Connect (NECEC) corridor will forever impact our region, our environment, our tourist industry, our forest products industry, our economy, our families’ future, our seasonal residents’ future, and our very way of life. This region continues to attract generations of visitor because they want to experience the natural beauty of the upper Kennebec and Moose River Waterways, and the surrounding wilderness of western Maine. This region offers a unique respite from the challenges and stress of life in the city, and we want it to stay that way for future generations of residents and visitors.

Some local sightlines will be spared, but the proposed transmission towers and lines will be visible from nearly every summit of nearly every peak in the Moose River Valley. They will cross some of the region's most pristine wilderness numerous times, hang over brooks, streams, rivers, and seasonal waterways crucial to all species of wildlife. The herbicides used to maintain the corridor will leach into the region's waterways, aquifers, and water tables. Our water is drawn from Big Wood Pond and it is fed by the Moose River. We do not need any more Herbicides than we already have polluting our drinking water. The environmental impact of the permanent deforestation component alone should alarm you as leaders of our town. Loss of oxygen to the valley, loss of shade to the fish, loss of canopy for birds and wildlife, loss of habitat for birds and mammals including deer yards and the loss of the unscarred views that make our area so special.

The NECEC project will have potential impacts on the safety and security of The United States of America. The project will leave a wide open 150-300 foot hole in the northern border of our country requiring additional resources to guard to prevent illegal activities. The project will also make us less energy independent and more reliant on a foreign country for our energy needs. Giving foreign countries control of our power supply is not responsible nor in the best interest of our country.
This project will inevitably lead to more powerlines, an unknown number of wind turbines and other future developments that are industrial in nature and detrimental to our area and its' wild untamed charm that keeps us here and brings in tourists and future residents.

Our area is not logistically equipped to handle the scope of the proposed project. The short term economic gains will be outweighed by the long term losses to our economy. The limited lodging, gathering places, eateries, and fuel pumps will be inundated by out of area workers, leaving little to no room for our longtime residents and tourists will look for alternative places to ride, boat, fish, hike, hunt, snowmobile, ATV, and get away from it all. Many of them will not come back once they find new places to recreate.

Another major issue is the current level of healthcare available to the workers during this multi-year project. The remote region of the corridor presents its own unique rescue challenges, and the level of medical treatment available may prove quite inadequate in the event of simultaneous traumatic injuries to multiple workers and residents. This would be an additional financial burden on our taxpayers. The remote locations could lead to a potential loss of life or property if the Fire Department and Ambulance are unable to respond to multiple calls simultaneously. There are no licensed nurses to support the one physician and one physician's assistant covering the clinic.

These impacts along with many others show how this project will be an economic burden on us that will have no lasting benefits to our citizens, only benefits for out of state and foreign companies while we are negatively impacted.

Respectfully submitted,

The residents and Selectmen of Moose River
WARRANT

To: Karen Moore, Citizen of the Town of Moose River, in the County of Somerset, Maine:

In the name of the State of Maine, you are hereby required to notify and warn the Residents of the Town of Moose River, qualified to vote on town affairs, to meet at the Moose River Town Office on the 12th of October, 2018 at seven o'clock in the evening then and there to act on the following articles, to wit:

ARTICLE 1 To choose a moderator to preside at said meeting.
***Wayne Lumbert nominates Harald Moore.

ARTICLE 2 To see if the town will vote to support or oppose the CMP corridor project.
***Travis Oliver made a motion to oppose the CMP corridor project. This motion was seconded by Cathy Reed. The voters voted 25 yay 0 nay. All in favor of opposing the CMP corridor project.

The Board of Selectmen give notice that the Registrar of Voters will be in session at the above named place on October 12, 2018 at 6:30 until the meeting starts for the purpose of correcting the voting lists and registering new voters.

Given unto our hands this 2nd day of October, 2018.

Harald K. Moore  
First Selectman

James A. Smyth  
Second Selectman

Wayne T. Lumbert  
Third Selectman

Attest: Karen Moore, Resident, Moose River

***Rhonda McNally made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Karen Moore. Meeting adjourned at 7:40pm.

***Meeting notes recorded by Lindsay Keiper Town Clerk.
April 9, 2019

RE: Letter of Support

Dear New England Clean Energy Connect & Central Maine Power Company:

It has come to the attention of the Board that the letter of support that was written dated November 9th 2017 on behalf of the Board of Selectmen is being publicized and misconstrued as support for the entirety of the project being proposed. The intent the Board had in signing this letter was only to lend the municipalities support to Central Maine Power & New England Clean Energy Connect being awarded the project as it was at the time being bid on by other companies from out of state. It was not the Board’s intention to lend support for the entirety of the project as at that time there was not enough information available about the scope of the project.

We ask that the letter be taken down from the New England Clean Energy Connects website. This is misleading to the public in the support that the Town provided for this proposed project. We would further like to clarify that any decisions that are made on the project will need to go before the legislative body of the Town of Pownal.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Morris  George Anderson  Shawn Bennett

Board of Selectmen  Pownal, Maine
Second small Maine town votes against CMP’s hydropower project

By Lori Valigra, BDN Staff • September 14, 2018 12:45 pm

West Forks plantation, a town of 56 residents, voted Thursday night by a majority not to support Central Maine Power’s project to transmit electricity from Canada to Massachusetts via Maine.

In a 45-7 vote, townspeople objected to the New England Clean Energy Connect project being pushed by CMP and its partner, Hydro-Quebec.

Last week, the town of Caratunk retracted its earlier letter to CMP supporting the project. In a filing with the Public Utilities Commission, the town of 68 residents cited environmental concerns and worries about not getting enough financial benefit from the project.

West Forks had not sent a similar letter of support, said third assessor Ashli Coleman, and until Thursday night’s vote, had kept a neutral status. However, a Somerset County commissioner did individually send a letter of support that is posted on CMP’s website for the project, she said.

“West Forks will be drafting and submitting a letter of opposition to the Department of Environmental Protection, Land Use Planning Commission and the Public Utilities Commission by early next week,” she said. “We will also ensure that CMP removes the letter of support [from the county commissioner] from the West Forks link on their NECEC website and replace it with the letter of opposition from the town of West Forks.”

The town already has filed for intervenor status to participate in hearings on the project. The project still needs to be approved by Massachusetts and Maine regulators.

Coleman said West Forks is the only organized town along the new route CMP plans to build starting at the Canadian border that will be directly affected by the lines. The new lines will then connect to existing CMP lines and run through Lewiston. Hydropower from Hydro-Quebec will run through the Maine lines to Massachusetts customers.

“It will change the view shed and affect the national scenic byway,” Coleman said about concerns expressed by townspeople. She also cited groundwater issues and an overtaxing of the town’s infrastructure as workers flow into the town to build the new line.

She added that she questions the accuracy of financial estimates CMP has presented for tax benefits and mitigation for altering the landscape and wildlife habitat.
March 6, 2019

Maine Public Utilities Commission
18 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333

Dear Commission Members:

On November 21, 2017, the Town of Wilton Board of Selectpersons sent a Letter of Support to the Massachusetts 83D concerning Central Maine Power’s application for the New England Clean Energy Connect Project. At the time, the Wilton Board of Selectpersons had limited information, as Central Maine Power was only in the initial application phase.

With Massachusetts’ acceptance of Central Maine Power’s proposal, pending permit approvals, and increased knowledge of the detrimental effects of the project to the Town of Wilton and to the Western Maine Region, the Wilton Board of Selectpersons held a Special Town Meeting with a warrant article concerning Central Maine Power’s proposed New England Clean Energy Connect Project. One hundred seventy-three voters overwhelmingly voted to direct the Board of Selectpersons to rescind the board’s letter of November 21, 2017, and to confirm the Town’s new position formally opposing the Project. The single voter who did not support the warrant article articulated a preference to remain neutral.

The Town of Wilton Board of Selectpersons and the Citizens of Wilton go on record as opposing Central Maine Power’s New England Clean Energy Connect Project.

Sincerely yours,

Tiffany Maiuri

Chairperson, Board of Selectpersons
Town of Wilton
WISCASSET BOARD OF SELECTMEN,
TAX ASSESSORS AND OVERSEERS OF THE POOR
MARCH 19, 2019

Preliminary Minutes

Present: Kim Andersson, Bob Blagden, Chair Judy Colby, Kathy Martin-Savage, Vice Chair Ben Rines, Jr. and Interim Town Manager John O’Connell

Chair Judy Colby called the meeting to order at 6:02 p.m.

1. Pledge of Allegiance

2. Approval of Treasurer’s Warrants

Ben Rines, Jr., moved to approve the payroll warrant of March 15, 2019. Vote 5-0-0.

Kathy Martin-Savage moved to approve the accounts payable warrant of March 19, 2019. Vote 5-0-0.

3. Approval of Minutes

Kathy Martin-Savage moved to approve the minutes of February 19, 2019 as amended. Vote 5-0-0.

Ben Rines, Jr., moved to approve the minutes of March 12, 2019. Vote 5-0-0.

4. Special Presentations or Awards

Chair Judy Colby presented the Maine Municipal Association’s Essay Contest Winner Award to Grace Greene together with a gift certificate for $250.00

5. Committee Appointments

Ben Rines, Jr., moved to appoint Donald James to the Shellfish Committee. Vote 5-0-0.

Kim Andersson moved to appoint Sue Robson to the Waterfront Committee. Vote 3-2-0 (Rines and Blagden opposed).

6. Public Hearings – none

7. Public Comment

Marty Fox who opposes the New England Connect Energy Corridor read a letter written September 21, 2017 from Marlan Anderson, then Town Manager, to CMP indicating that the board voted to support the NECEC. Judy Colby said she did not remember the board voting to support the NECEC and she would need to verify through a search of minutes that the board had voted to approve the corridor. Subsequently, a review of the minutes indicated there was no vote on 9/19/17. Fox said Anderson’s letter was on the corridor’s website and asked the board to reconsider. Jim Kochan said the DOT was soliciting a letter of support at that meeting in 2017. The matter will be on the agenda in two weeks and discussed further under Other Board Business.
Kim Dolce asked, if legally possible, to have a statement on the warrant indicating whether the budget number is an increase or decrease from the previous year’s budget. Also, could it indicate that property taxes would increase or decrease depending upon what percent the budget was increased or decreased. Judy Colby said it would depend on State regulations and she would check if it would be possible.

8. Department Head or Committee Chair

a. Department Head February Monthly reports – Kim Andersson noted that the Wastewater Treatment Plant report was very thorough and was useful in budgeting for next year.

9. Unfinished Business

a. Clean Up Grant RFP: John O’Connell said that minor revisions had been made. Kathy Martin-Savage moved to direct the Town Manager to submit the RFP as presented. Vote 5-0-0.

b. Budget Calendar: March 20, 2019 – no budget meeting; Meetings on March 25 and 27, April 1 and 3 at 5 p.m.; Budget process should be complete by April 1.

c. Policy on Tax Lien and Sewer Lien Acquired Policy Revision: Ben Rines, Jr., moved to adopt the Policy on Tax Lien and Lien Acquired Property. Vote 5-0-0. Ben Rines, Jr., moved to rescind the vote on the Policy on Tax Lien and Lien Acquired Property. Vote 5-0-0. Ben Rines, Jr., moved to approve the Policy on Tax Lien and Sewer Lien Acquired Property. Vote 5-0-0.

d. Tax Acquired Property Occupancy and Re-Purchase Agreement: Judy Colby moved to adopt the Tax Acquired Property Occupancy and Re-purchase Agreement form. Vote 5-0-0

e. Update on Pier Vendor Fees: O’Connell said Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission had submitted information on pier vendor fees in seaside communities. Many do not have kiosks on the municipal piers and those that do have kiosks have varying fees for varying sizes or duration of agreement. The average for the four communities that responded (Camden, Eastport, Lubec and Mt. Desert Island) is $5,635/season, $900/month, $225/week and $32/day. John O’Connell will ask the Waterfront Committee for recommendations. Jim Kochan said there had been no rate increase of any note for 20 years. He said he had submitted a recommendation for a graduated fee increase several weeks ago. He also said that because two of the current vendors are members of the Waterfront Committee, a quorum to vote on a recommendation from the Waterfront Committee would not be possible. He said the town should not have to underwrite other people’s businesses on the pier. He added that almost all the money made on vendor fees this year went for maintenance. Judy Colby said the Waterfront Committee will be asked for its recommendation and the board will also review Jim Kochan’s recommendation. It was suggested that vendor fees at farmers’ markets be investigated.

10. New Business

a. February Monthly Financials
   • Department year to date expense report: In response to Kim Andersson’s question regarding Municipal Building Electric and other accounts, Vernice Boyce said she would get further information on the accounts before the next meeting.
   • H.M. Payson Statement of Accounts
b. Quit Claim Deed
   • Gary and Debbie Joslyn, Map R04: Judy Colby moved to grant the quit claim deed for Gary and Debbie Joslyn. Vote 5-0-0.

c. Watercraft Storage Rack Rental Policy Proposal – Peter Wells: Wells submitted a proposal for three rental waterfront storage racks which would be attached to the building at the pier and would have four shelves each for kayaks, canoes, or watercraft. The storage racks would be convenient for residents and would be an economic benefit for the Town. Phase I would be for one rental storage rack. The Waterfront Committee is in support. Each rack would cost $760 which would include four I-bolts, not installation. He also submitted a draft rental agreement which would specify that the town had no responsibility- use of the racks would be at the renter’s risk. Other towns have rented spaces for $30 to $50 per season. There is a donor for Phase I of the project who would pay for the racks and I-bolts if the town would install them. The donor would also want rental space at no cost for their kayaks (at $50 per year rent and $760 donated for rack, the donor would get free rent for approximately five years). Jim Kochan said he supported the idea, but he said it would benefit a limited number of people. He said cheaper and more durable models could be constructed with donated time and materials. There was discussion on free-standing versus racks attached to the building, whether the racks attached to the building or free-standing year-round racks would require approval of the Historic Preservation Commission, alternate location for stand-alone racks, possibility of building racks in-house, testing the demand for one year, using a lottery system for spaces if the demand exceeds the supply, the return on investment would take approximately four years, and utilizing vacant space at the dock for stand-alone racks. The board will take a road trip to the waterfront to see if stand-alone racks would be an option. Wells will meet with the Historic Preservation Commission regarding any necessary approval.

d. Sunken Garden Discussion – Steve Christiansen: In 1958 the parcel of land known today as the Sunken Garden was given to the Town provided that no buildings be put on the property. If that condition was not met, the land would revert to the donors. In 1990 there was a request for funds for the Sunken Garden which Christiansen assumed was used for the shed. He concluded that the shed must be removed, or the property would revert to the heirs of the owners. The shed is used by the Garden Club and the Beautification Committee for gardening tools and supplies. Bob Blagden said the board should honor the conditions of the gift. Kathy Martin-Savage moved to remove shed before Memorial Day. A member of the Garden Club spoke about the need for a storage shed for their efforts in the Sunken Garden. It was mentioned that before the shed was erected, tools were kept in the barn of the Nichols-Sortwell House. O’Connell will contact Norma Gordon of the Beautification Committee regarding the tools in the garden shed. Vote 5-0-0.

e. Business License Approval – Edward Colburn, CBA Water Street Kitchen & Bar: Ben Rines, Jr., moved to approve the Business License for Edward Colburn, DBA Water Street Kitchen and Bar. Vote 5-0-0.

f. Town Clock Maintenance Agreement with Balzer Family Clock Works: O’Connell said the clock tower itself needs work and Jason Putnam, who worked on the tower last year, will be contacted by John O’Connell to inspect the tower to see what repairs are necessary.

g. Friends of Wiscasset Village – Peter Wells, Mary Ellen Barnes: Barnes said the Friends of Wiscasset Village is an ad hoc committee with approximately 45 members whose mission is to communicate positive energy, to strengthen the local economy and community, to celebrate our unique treasures, and to welcome new neighbors and businesses. She outlined the activities of the group during the
MDOT construction projects and other activities during the past fall and holiday shopping season. The group is now focusing on sidewalk amenities, and Peter Wells introduced several design concepts for streetscape improvements including benches and trash receptacles. He illustrated the brick sidewalks (from 22 feet to 30 feet wide) with three Japanese Lilac trees on each side, three lights on each side and bollards. A sitting wall is also planned on the north side of the street. He presented drawings showing several concepts with benches, trash receptacles, planters and tables and chairs. He said there was no water access so a water truck would be needed for plants and trees. He asked for support for the origin of funds for the improvements and developing some amenities and going back to MDOT to see if they would help support. He said it would be better to install the amenities before the sidewalks are finished. In response to Ben Rines question, the cost of 8 benches and 4 trash receptacles is $18,000 without installation. Installation would probably double that amount. Susan Blagden asked what the ongoing costs would be to maintain the amenities. Maryellen Barnes asked for the support of the town and asked to be authorized to communicate with the MDOT regarding the amenities and vendors.

In response to Judy Colby’s question regarding funding, Barnes said the group was not asking for funding from the board, only the authorization to talk to the MDOT about its funding some of the amenities and then the balance would come from fundraising by the group. Kathy Martin-Savage said she had a problem with the committee speaking on behalf of the selectmen and the town and that John O’Connell could contact the MDOT to see if the amenities could be put back into the project. It was decided that O’Connell and Peter Wells would meet with the MDOT. Kim Andersson thanked the group for their work on the project. Jim Kochan said that at a May 2018 meeting, Ernie Martin had said that the MDOT was paying for all the amenities in the design, however, the PAC had agreed to give up the amenities that would have been free. In response to a question, Wells outlined the grades which were handicap accessible and those which did not require ramps.

11. Town Manager’s Report

a. John O’Connell announced the appointment of Theodore Snowdon as Director of Public Works
b. A memo was received from John Carroll, CMP, confirming that the Union of Concerned Scientists was in favor of the NECEC project as he had mentioned at the last meeting, and he had provided Marty Fox with a copy of the UCS statement.
c. A communication had been received from Lt. Murphy regarding costs of animal control and asking for a meeting to follow up on expenses.
d. O’Connell will meet with Jason Putnam to look at the building housing the town clock to determine what work needs to be done. He will discuss with the Balzer representative repairs to keep the clock on time. Bob Blagden said there is a book available giving instructions on adjusting the clock. The maintenance agreement must be signed by July 1.
e. O’Connell will meet with a representative of Wright Pierce on March 20 about possible grants for the wastewater treatment plant.

12. Assessors’ Agent Recommended Abatements:
   • Carroll M. Jones, Map R03, Lot 54-3-1, $347.99
   • Dennis Gauthier, Map R04, Lot 11C, $152.49
   • Scott Connors, Map R01, Lot 035-C02, $318.67
   • Gilbert H. Rines, Map R05, Lot 51-A2. $95.80
   • Sam Patterson, Map R07, Lot 039-19, $146.63
Ben Rines, Jr., moved to approve the recommended abatements. Vote 5-0-0. He thanked the Treasurer for her explanation.

13. Other Board Business

Judy Colby asked whether a vote on the CMP plan should be on the next agenda. Regarding the letter on the CMP website citing Wiscasset’s approval of the NECEC plan, Ben Rines, Jr., said the CMP had a false statement (regarding the board’s support). Kim Andersson said she thought the board was supportive of the RFP when it was presented in 2017, and that Marian Andersson’s letter of September 2017 was not inaccurate at that time. Kathy Martin-Savage said the original proposal was the subject of the meeting in 2017 but since then a second proposal had been made which is now being discussed. Bob Blagden said since the board had not acted, there was no action to be rescinded as requested earlier in the meeting. He said he did not favor putting the issue on the warrant because it was difficult to understand. Judy Colby said the board was receptive of the information given to the board in 2017 but the board has not taken a position on the NECEC project. Ben Rines, Jr., moved to direct the Town Manager to contact CMP to specifically remove any references that imply support of the ongoing project from the onset to the present by the Town of Wiscasset from its website. Vote 5-0-0.

Regarding the Monkey C Monkey Do water bill, Richard Gaeth, Wastewater Treatment Plant Manager, said that there are four meters on the property and that the property owners didn’t understand the consumption of water that would result from power-washing the building. Chris Cossette, Wiscasset Water District, advised that no abatement should be recognized. Bob Blagden said the business should have had a separate water meter for power-washing.

Gaeth apologized for the length of his monthly report, but said he thought the board should be aware of what the department was doing. He hoped to get to the point where the reports would be proactive instead of reactive. A new DEP flow meter will be installed and at the next meeting Gaeth would like to address septic fees, providing information of surrounding areas. He asked if the board would contemplate giving a loan to the department from the Payson Fund of about $25,000.

Gaeth said if there is $5,000 in budget at the end of March, he would like to hire Ted Berry to do wet well work. He was advised that the request would be on the next agenda. Bob Blagden said the work should go out to bid.

Judy Colby asked the reporters to note that nomination papers for Budget Committee, Select Board, School Board, etc. are due on April 11.

14. Adjournment

Kathy Martin-Savage moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:25 p.m. Vote 5-0-0.
Town of Wiscasset
Board/Committee Membership Application

Full Name: TERRY HELLER

Street Address: 2 MORTON STREET

Mailing Address: 2 MORTON STREET Home Phone: 512.746.7554

Town of Legal Residence: WISCASSET

Work Phone: __ Cell Phone: 512.746.7554 E-mail: TLHHELLER@GMAIL.COM

I wish to be considered for the appointment to the: __________________________

APPEARANCE OF THE TOWN Term Of Appointment: 1 YEAR

Full member: X Reappointment: ___ Alternate member: ___

Do you currently serve or have you ever served on any Town Board? NO

If yes, please state which Board or Committee/term exp. ___________________

List civic organizations to which you belong now: LION'S CLUB, FRIENDS OF THE VILLAGE

Prior experience, knowledge, or abilities that you have which would contribute to the activities of the Board or Committee: LOTS

Signature: __________________________ Date: 4/18/2019

Additional comments can be made on the reverse side of this form.

Please return to the Selectmen’s Office, 51 Bath Road, Wiscasset, ME 04578, by fax 882-8228 or e-mail at clerk@wiscasset.org

*******************************************************************************

For Office Use:

Date received: 3/18/19 Date Appointed: __________ Term: 1 YEAR

1/2020
Town of Wiscasset
Board/Committee Membership Application

Full Name: Stephen P. Wallace
Street Address: 18 Brown Rd., Wiscasset, ME 04578
Mailing Address: Same as above Home Phone: 207-882-7237
Town of Legal Residence: Wiscasset

Work Phone: _______ Cell Phone: 207-466-0584 E-mail: spwallace52@outlook.com

I wish to be considered for the appointment to the: Planning board

____________________________ Term Of Appointment: exp. 12/31/2019

Full member: V Reappointment: _____ Alternate member: _____

Do you currently serve or have you ever served on any Town Board? Yes
If yes, please state which Board or Committee/term exp. Budget

List civic organizations to which you belong now: ________________________________

Prior experience, knowledge, or abilities that you have which would contribute to
the activities of the Board or Committee: Budget Committee

Signature: Stephen P. Wallace Date: 03/27/2019

Additional comments can be made on the reverse side of this form.

Please return to the Selectmen’s Office, 51 Bath Road, Wiscasset, ME 04578, by
fax 882-8228 or e-mail at clerk@wiscasset.org

******************************************

For Office Use:

Date received: 3/27/2019 Date Appointed: ________ Term: 12/31/2019
TOWN OF WISCASSET
PUBLIC HEARING

The Board of Selectmen will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 2, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. in the Municipal Building Hearing Room. The purpose of the hearing is as follows:

- To act on a request for a New Liquor License for Kelley Coady, Light House Lobster Shack Inc., DBA Wiscasset Diner located at 762 Bath Road.
PRESENT LICENSE EXPIRES:  

NEW application: ☑ Yes □ No
If business is NEW or under new ownership, indicate starting date: 11/4/14
Requested inspection (New Licensees/Ownership Changes Only) Date:
INDICATE TYPE OF PRIVILEGE: ✓ MALT ✓ VINOUS ✓ SPIRITUOUS

☐ RESTAURANT (Class I,II,III,IV)  ☐ RESTAURANT/LOUNGE (Class XI)  ☐ CLASS A LOUNGE (Class X)
☐ HOTEL (Class I,II,III,IV)  ☐ HOTEL, FOOD OPTIONAL (Class I-A)  ☐ BED & BREAKFAST (Class V)
☐ GOLF COURSE (Class I,II,III,IV)  ☐ TAVERN (Class IV)  ☐ QUALIFIED CATERING
☐ OTHER: _______________________

INDICATE TYPE OF LICENSE:

Refer to Page 3 for Fee Schedule

ALL QUESTIONS MUST BE ANSWERED IN FULL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corporation Name:</th>
<th>Wiscasset Dine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lighthouse Lobster Shack Inc.</td>
<td>Lighthouse Lobster Shack Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT(S) - (Sole Proprietor)</td>
<td>Kelley Coady</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB: 8/26/64</td>
<td>DOB: 8/26/64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>762 Bath Rd</td>
<td>762 Bath Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/Town</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiscasset</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>762 Bath Rd</td>
<td>762 Bath Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/Town</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiscasset</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Number</td>
<td>Fax Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207-687-8089</td>
<td>207-687-8089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax Number</td>
<td>Fax Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal I.D. #</td>
<td>Social Security #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-5270799</td>
<td>1108948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address: Please Print</td>
<td>Email Address: Please Print</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:Kcoady64@outlook.com">Kcoady64@outlook.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kcoady64@outlook.com">Kcoady64@outlook.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. If premise is a Hotel or Bed & Breakfast, indicate number of rooms available for transient guests: __________

2. State amount of gross income from period of last license:
   ROOMS $ _______  FOOD $ _______  LIQUOR $ _______

3. Is applicant a corporation, limited liability company or limited partnership? ☑ YES □ NO □
   If Yes, please complete the Corporate Information required for Business Entities who are licensees.

4. Do you permit dancing or entertainment on the licensed premises? ☑ YES □ NO ☑

On Premise Application Rev. 12/2018 Replace 10/2018
5. Do you own or have any interest in any another Maine Liquor License? ☐ Yes ☑ No (Use an additional sheet(s) if necessary.) If yes, please list License Number, Name, and physical location of any other Maine Liquor Licenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Name of Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6. If manager is to be employed, give name: Kelley Coady

7. Business records are located at: 762 Bath Rd, Wiscasset, ME 04578

8. Is any applicant(s) citizens of the United States? YES ☑ NO ☐

9. Is any applicant(s) residents of the State of Maine? YES ☑ NO ☐

10. List name, date of birth, and place of birth for all applicants, managers, and bar managers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name (Please Print)</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Place of Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelley Coady</td>
<td>8/26/64</td>
<td>Boothbay Harbor, ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John M. Suarez</td>
<td>2/10/64</td>
<td>Pensacola, FL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Residence address on all of the above for previous 5 years (Limit answer to city & state)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>City:</th>
<th>State:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelley Coady</td>
<td>Boothbay</td>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John M. Suarez</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Has any applicant(s) or manager ever been convicted of any violation of the law, other than minor traffic violations, of any State of the United States? YES ☑ NO ☐

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: John M. Suarez</th>
<th>Date of Conviction: May 1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offense: Burglary of an unoccupied building; Stalking; Violation of restraining order</td>
<td>Location: Pensacola, FL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Will any law enforcement official benefit directly in your license, if issued? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ If Yes, give name:

14. Has any applicant(s) formerly held a Maine liquor license? YES ☑ NO ☐

15. Does any applicant(s) own the premises? ☐ No ☑ If No give name and address of owner:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Robert Rogers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

16. Describe in detail the premises to be licensed: (On Premise Diagram Required)

17. Does any applicant(s) have all the necessary permits required by the State Department of Human Services? YES ☑ NO ☐ Applied for:

18. What is the distance from the premises to the NEAREST school, school dormitory, church, chapel or parish house, measured from the main entrance of the premises to the main entrance of the school, school dormitory, church, chapel or parish house by the ordinary course of travel? 1,500 ft

Which of the above is nearest? Church
19. Have you received any assistance financially or otherwise (including any mortgages) from any source other than yourself in the establishment of your business? YES □ NO □

If YES, give details:

The Division of Liquor Licensing & Enforcement is hereby authorized to obtain and examine all books, records and tax returns pertaining to the business, for which this liquor license is requested, and also such books, records and returns during the year in which any liquor license is in effect.

NOTE: "I understand that false statements made on this form are punishable by law. Knowingly supplying false information on this form is a Class D offense under the Criminal Code, punishable by confinement of up to one year or by monetary fine of up to $2,000 or both."

Dated: __________________________ on __________________________

[Signature and Print Name]

FEE SCHEDULE

FILING FEE: (must be included on all applications)................................. $ 10.00

Class I Spirituous, Vinous and Malt .............................................. $ 900.00

CLASS I: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Vessels; Qualified Caterers; OTB.

Class I-A Spirituous, Vinous and Malt, Optional Food (Hotels Only) .............................................. $1,100.00

CLASS I-A: Hotels only that do not serve three meals a day.

Class II Spirituous Only ................................................................. $ 550.00

CLASS II: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; and Vessels.

Class III Vinous Only ................................................................. $ 220.00

CLASS III: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Restaurants; Vessels; Pool Halls; and Bed and Breakfasts.

Class IV Malt Liquor Only ............................................................. $ 220.00

CLASS IV: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Restaurants; Taverns; Pool Halls; and Bed and Breakfasts.

Class III & IV CLASS III & IV: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Restaurants; Vessels; Pool Halls; and Bed and Breakfasts.

Class V Spirituous, Vinous and Malt (Clubs without Catering, Bed & Breakfasts) .............................................. $ 495.00

CLASS V: Clubs without catering privileges.

Class X Spirituous, Vinous and Malt – Class A Lounge .............................................. $2,200.00

CLASS X: Class A Lounge

Class XI Spirituous, Vinous and Malt – Restaurant Lounge .............................................. $1,500.00

CLASS XI: Restaurant/Lounge; and OTB.
UNORGANIZED TERRITORIES $10.00 filing fee shall be paid directly to County Treasurer. All applicants in unorganized territories shall submit along with their application evidence of payment to the County Treasurer. All applications for NEW or RENEWAL liquor licenses must contact their Municipal Officials or the County Commissioners in unincorporated places for approval and signatures for liquor licenses prior to submitting them to the bureau.

All fees must accompany application, make check payable to the Treasurer, State of Maine.

This application must be completed and signed by the Town or City and mailed to:
Bureau of Alcoholic Beverages and Lottery Operations
Division of Liquor Licensing and Enforcement
8 State House Station, Augusta, ME 04333-0008 (Regular address)
10 Water Street, Hallowell, ME 04347 (Overnight address)
Payments by check subject to penalty provided by Title 28A, MRS, Section 3-B.

TO STATE OF MAIN MUNICIPAL OFFICERS & COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:
Hereby certify that we have complied with Section 653 of Title 28-A Maine Revised Statutes and hereby approve said application.

Dated at: ____________________________________________, Maine

On: ___________________________, ___________________________
City/Town (County)

The undersigned being: □ Municipal Officers □ County Commissioners of the
□ City □ Town □ Plantation □ Unincorporated Place of: ____________________________________________, Maine

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

THIS APPROVAL EXPIRES IN 60 DAYS

NOTICE – SPECIAL ATTENTION

§653. Hearings; bureau review; appeal

1. Hearings. The municipal officers or, in the case of unincorporated places, the county commissioners of the county in which the unincorporated place is located, may hold a public hearing for the consideration of applications for new on-premises licenses and applications for transfer of location of existing on-premises licenses. The municipal officers or county commissioners may hold a public hearing for the consideration of requests for renewal of licenses, except that when an applicant has held a license for the prior 5 years and a complaint has not been filed against the applicant within that time, the applicant may request a waiver of the hearing.

A. The bureau shall prepare and supply application forms. [1993, c. 730, §27 (AMD).]

B. The municipal officers or the county commissioners, as the case may be, shall provide public notice of any hearing held under this section by causing a notice, at the applicant's prepaid expense, stating the name and place of hearing, to appear on at least 3 consecutive days before the date of hearing in a daily newspaper having general circulation in the municipality where the premises are located or one week before the date of the hearing in a weekly newspaper having general circulation in the municipality where the premises are located. [1995, c. 140, §4 (AMD).]

C. If the municipal officers or the county commissioners, as the case may be, fail to take final action on an application for a new on-premises license or transfer of the location of an existing on-premises license within 60 days of the filing of an application, the application is deemed approved and ready for action by the bureau. For purposes of this paragraph, the date of filing of the application is the date the application is received by the municipal officers or county commissioners. This paragraph applies to all applications pending before municipal officers or county commissioners as of the effective date of this paragraph as well as all applications filed on or after the effective date of this paragraph. This paragraph applies to an existing on-premises license that has been extended pending renewal. The municipal officers or the county commissioners shall take final action on an on-premises license that has been extended pending renewal within 120 days of the filing of the application. [2003, c. 213, §1 (AMD).]
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D. If an application is approved by the municipal officers or the county commissioners but the bureau finds, after inspection of the premises and the records of the applicant, that the applicant does not qualify for the class of license applied for, the bureau shall notify the applicant of that fact in writing. The bureau shall give the applicant 30 days to file an amended application for the appropriate class of license, accompanied by any additional license fee, with the municipal officers or county commissioners, as the case may be. If the applicant fails to file an amended application within 30 days, the original application must be denied by the bureau. The bureau shall notify the applicant in writing of its decision to deny the application including the reasons for the denial and the rights of appeal of the applicant. [1995, c. 140, §5 (NEW).] [2003, c. 213, §1 (AMD).]

2. Findings. In granting or denying an application, the municipal officers or the county commissioners shall indicate the reasons for their decision and provide a copy to the applicant. A license may be denied on one or more of the following grounds:

A. Conviction of the applicant of any Class A, Class B or Class C crime; [1987, c. 45, Pt. A, §4 (NEW).]

B. Noncompliance of the licensed premises or its use with any local zoning ordinance or other land use ordinance not directly related to liquor control; [1987, c. 45, Pt. A, §4 (NEW).]

C. Conditions of record such as waste disposal violations, health or safety violations or repeated parking or traffic violations on or in the vicinity of the licensed premises and caused by persons patronizing or employed by the licensed premises or other such conditions caused by persons patronizing or employed by the licensed premises that unreasonably disturb, interfere with or affect the ability of persons or businesses residing or located in the vicinity of the licensed premises to use their property in a reasonable manner; [1993, c. 730, §27 (AMD).]

D. Repeated incidents of record of bruises of the peace, disorderly conduct, vandalism or other violations of law or on or in the vicinity of the licensed premises and caused by persons patronizing or employed by the licensed premises; [1989, c. 592, §3 (AMD).]

E. A violation of any provision of this Title; [2009, c. 81, §1 (AMD).]

F. A determination by the municipal officers or county commissioners that the purpose of the application is to circumvent the provisions of section 601; and [2009, c. 81, §2 (AMD).]

G. After September 1, 2010, server training, in a program certified by the bureau and required by local ordinance, has not been completed by individuals who serve alcoholic beverages. [2009, c. 81, §3 (NEW).]

[ 2009, c. 81, §81-5 (AMD).]

3. Appeal to bureau. Any applicant aggrieved by the decision of the municipal officers or county commissioners under this section may appeal to the bureau within 15 days of the receipt of the written decision of the municipal officers or county commissioners. The bureau shall hold a public hearing in the city, town or unincorporated place where the premises are situated. In acting on such an appeal, the bureau may consider all licensure requirements and findings referred to in subsection 2.

A. [1993, c. 730, §27 (RP).]

B. If the decision appealed from is an application denial, the bureau may issue the license only if it finds by clear and convincing evidence that the decision was without justifiable cause. [1993, c. 730, §27 (AMD).]

[1995, c. 140, §6 (AMD).]

4. No license to person who moved to obtain a license. [ 1987, c. 342, §32 (RP).]

5. Appeal to District Court. Any person or governmental entity aggrieved by a bureau decision under this section may appeal the decision to the District Court within 30 days of receipt of the written decision of the bureau.

An applicant who files an appeal or who has an appeal pending shall pay the annual license fee the applicant would otherwise pay. Upon resolution of the appeal, if an applicant's license renewal is denied, the bureau shall refund the applicant the prorated amount of the unused license fee.

[ 1995, c. 140, §7 (AMD); 1999, c. 547, Pt. B, §78 (AMD); 1999, c. 547, Pt. B, §80 (AFF).]
ON PREMISE DIAGRAM
(Facility Drawing/ Floor Plan)

In an effort to clearly define your license premise and the area that consumption and storage of liquor is allowed. The Division requires all applicants to submit a diagram of the premise to be licensed in addition to a completed license application.

Diagrams should be submitted on this form and should be as accurate as possible. Be sure to label the areas with the following: • Entrances • Office area • Kitchen • Storage Areas • Dining Rooms • Lounges • Function Rooms • Restrooms • Decks • All Inside and Outside areas that you are requesting approval.
Questions 1 to 4 must match information on file with the Maine Secretary of State’s office. If you have questions regarding this information, please call the Secretary of State’s office at (207) 624-7752.

Please clearly complete this form in its entirety.

1. Exact legal name: **Lighthouse Lobster Shack Inc.**

2. Doing Business As, if any: **Miss Wiscasset Diner**

3. Date of filing with Secretary of State: **2/28/2014**  
   State in which you are formed: **ME**

4. If not a Maine business entity, date on which you were authorized to transact business in the State of Maine: _____________________________

5. List the name and addresses for previous 5 years, birth dates, titles of officers, directors and list the percentage ownership: (attach additional sheets as needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS (5 YEARS)</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>Ownership %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelley Coady</td>
<td>175 Lakeside Dr, Boothbay Harbor, ME 04538</td>
<td>8/26/64</td>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John M. Suarez</td>
<td>175 Lakeside Dr, Boothbay Harbor, ME 04538</td>
<td>2/10/64</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Stock ownership in non-publicly traded companies must add up to 100%.)

6. If Co-Op # of members: ________________ (list primary officers in the above boxes)
7. Has any principal person involved in the entity ever been convicted of any violation of the law, other than minor traffic violations, in the United States?  
   ☐ Yes  ☐ No

8. If Yes to Question 8, please complete the following: (attached additional sheets as needed)

   Name: John M. Suarez
   Date of Conviction: May of 1999
   Offense: Burglary of unoccupied building, Stalking, Violation of restraining order
   Location of Conviction: Pensacola, FL
   Disposition: ______________________________

   Signature: John M. Suarez

   Signature of Owner or Corporate Officer: Kelley Conley
   Date: 3/9/18
   Print Name of Owner or Corporate Officer: Kelley Conley

Submit Completed Forms to:

Bureau of Alcoholic Beverages
Division of Liquor Licensing and Enforcement
8 State House Station, Augusta, Me 04333-0008 (Regular address)
10 Water Street, Hallowell, ME 04347 (Overnight address)
Telephone Inquiries: (207) 624-7220  Fax: (207) 287-3434
Email Inquiries: Maineliquor@maine.gov
TOWN OF WISCASSET
PUBLIC HEARING

The Board of Selectmen will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 2, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. in the Municipal Building Hearing Room. The purpose of the hearing is as follows:

- To act on a request for a New Liquor License for Edward D. Colburn, EDCRS LLC, DBA Water Street Kitchen & Bar located at 15 Water Street.
**PRESENT LICENSE EXPIRES:**

NEW application: ☑ Yes ☐ No

If business is NEW or under new ownership, indicate starting date: ____________________________

Requested inspection (New Licenses/Ownership Changes Only) Date: May 13, 2019

Business hours: 8 a.m. to 1 a.m.

INDICATE TYPE OF PRIVILEGE: ☑ MALT ☐ VINOUS ☑ SPIRITUOUS

INDICATE TYPE OF LICENSE:

☐ RESTAURANT (Class I,II,III,IV) ☑ RESTAURANT/LOUNGE (Class XI)

☐ HOTEL (Class I,II,III,IV) ☐ HOTEL, FOOD OPTIONAL (Class 1-A)

☐ GOLF COURSE (Class I,II,III,IV) ☐ TAVERN (Class IV)

☐ OTHER: ____________________________

REFER TO PAGE 3 FOR FEE SCHEDULE

ALL QUESTIONS MUST BE ANSWERED IN FULL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corporation Name:</th>
<th>EDCRS, LLC</th>
<th>Business Name (D/B/A)</th>
<th>Water Street Kitchen + Bar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT(S) – (Sole Proprietor)</td>
<td>Edward D. Colburn</td>
<td>DOB:</td>
<td>9/21/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>838 Hendricks Hill Road</td>
<td>City/Town</td>
<td>Wiscasset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>04578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/Town</td>
<td>Southport</td>
<td>City/Town</td>
<td>Wiscasset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>04576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Number</td>
<td>617-780-9557</td>
<td>Business Telephone Number</td>
<td>207-887-6076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax Number</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal I.D. #</td>
<td>83-3453929</td>
<td>Seller Certificate #:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Sales Tax #:</td>
<td></td>
<td>1198258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ed@waterstreetmaine.com">ed@waterstreetmaine.com</a></td>
<td>Website:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.waterstreetmaine.com">www.waterstreetmaine.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. If premise is a Hotel or Bed & Breakfast, indicate number of rooms available for transient guests: NA (New Applicant - Not Applicable (NA))

2. State amount of gross income from period of last license:

   ROOMS $ _________ NA FOOD $ _________ NA LIQUOR $ _________ NA

3. Is applicant a corporation, limited liability company or limited partnership? YES ☑ NO ☐

   If Yes, please complete the Corporate Information required for Business Entities who are licensees.

4. Do you permit dancing or entertainment on the licensed premises? YES ☑ NO ☐
5. Do you own or have any interest in any other Maine Liquor License? □ Yes  ✔ No (Use an additional sheet(s) if necessary.) If yes, please list License Number, Name, and physical location of any other Maine Liquor Licenses.

License # Name of Business

Physical Location City / Town

6. If manager is to be employed, give name: Edward D. Colburn

7. Business records are located at: 15 Water Street, Wiscasset, ME 04578

8. Is/are applicants(s) citizens of the United States? YES ☑ NO □

9. Is/are applicant(s) residents of the State of Maine? YES ☑ NO □

10. List name, date of birth, and place of birth for all applicants, managers, and bar managers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name (Please Print)</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Place of Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edward D. Colburn</td>
<td>9-21-1986</td>
<td>Greenwich, CT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Residence address on all of the above for previous 5 years (Limit answer to city & state)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Edward D. Colburn</th>
<th>City: Boston</th>
<th>State: MA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>City:</td>
<td>State:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Has/have applicant(s) or manager ever been convicted of any violation of the law, other than minor traffic violations, of any State of the United States? YES □ NO ☑

Name: ________________________________ Date of Conviction: ________________________________

Offense: ______________________________ Location: ______________________________

Disposition: ____________________________ (use additional sheet(s) if necessary)

13. Will any law enforcement official benefit directly in your license, if issued?

Yes □ No ☑ If Yes, give name: __________________________________________________________

14. Has/have applicant(s) formerly held a Maine liquor license? YES □ NO ☑

15. Does/do applicant(s) own the premises? Yes □ No ☑ If No give name and address of owner:

Owner is EDCRE, LLC, 338 Hendricks Hill Road, Southport, ME 04576 - 100% owned by Edward D. Colburn

16. Describe in detail the premises to be licensed: (On Premise Diagram Required)

Two story restaurant and bar

17. Does/do applicant(s) have all the necessary permits required by the State Department of Human Services?

YES □ NO ☑ Applied for: March 19, 2019

18. What is the distance from the premises to the NEAREST school, school dormitory, church, chapel or parish house, measured from the main entrance of the premises to the main entrance of the school, school dormitory, church, chapel or parish house by the ordinary course of travel? 0.4 miles

Which of the above is nearest? First Congregational Church, Wiscasset, ME 04578
19. Have you received any assistance financially or otherwise (including any mortgages) from any source other than yourself in the establishment of your business? YES ☐ NO ☐

The First, Damariscotta, ME mortgage by EDCRE cross-collateralized with EDCRS

If YES, give details: Cash Gift from parents, Kenneth H. and Virginia V. Colburn, Boston, MA for some capital requirements.

The Division of Liquor Licensing & Enforcement is hereby authorized to obtain and examine all books, records and tax returns pertaining to the business, for which this liquor license is requested, and also such books, records and returns during the year in which any liquor license is in effect.

NOTE: “I understand that false statements made on this form are punishable by law. Knowingly supplying false information on this form is a Class D offense under the Criminal Code, punishable by confinement of up to one year or by monetary fine of up to $2,000 or both.”

Dated at: Wiscasset on March 19, 2019

Town/City/State Date

PLEASE SIGN IN BLUE INK

Signature of Applicant or Corporate Officer(s)

Edward D. Colburn, Managing Member

Print Name

Signature of Applicant or Corporate Officer(s)

Print Name

FEE SCHEDULE

FILING FEE: (must be included on all applications) .......................................................... $ 10.00

Class I

Spirituous, Vinous and Malt ................................................................. $ 900.00

CLASS I: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Vessels; Qualified Caterers; OTB

Class I-A

SPIRITUOUS, VINOUS AND MALT, OPTIONAL FOOD (Hotels Only) .................................. $1,100.00

CLASS I-A: Hotels only that do not serve three meals a day.

Class II

Spirituous Only ................................................................. $ 550.00

CLASS II: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; and Vessels.

Class III

Vinous Only ................................................................. $ 220.00

CLASS III: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Restaurants; Vessels; Pool Halls; and Bed and Breakfasts.

Class IV

Malt Liquor Only ................................................................. $ 220.00

CLASS IV: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Restaurants; Taverns; Pool Halls; and Bed and Breakfasts.

Class III & IV

CLASS III & IV: Airlines; Civic Auditoriums; Class A Restaurants; Clubs with catering privileges; Dining Cars; Golf Clubs; Hotels; Indoor Ice Skating Clubs; Indoor Tennis Clubs; Restaurants; Vessels; Pool Halls; and Bed and Breakfasts.

Class V

Spirituous, Vinous and Malt (Clubs without Catering, Bed & Breakfasts) .................................. $ 495.00

CLASS V: Clubs without catering privileges.

Class X

Spirituous, Vinous and Malt – Class A Lounge .................................. $2,200.00

CLASS X: Class A Lounge

Class XI

Spirituous, Vinous and Malt – Restaurant Lounge .................................. $1,500.00

CLASS XI: Restaurant/Lounge; and OTB.

SELF-SPONSORED EVENTS: Qualified Caterers Only .......................................................... $ 700.00
UNORGANIZED TERRITORIES $10.00 filing fee shall be paid directly to County Treasurer. All applicants in unorganized territories shall submit along with their application evidence of payment to the County Treasurer. All applications for NEW or RENEWAL liquor licenses must contact their Municipal Officials or the County Commissioners in unincorporated places for approval and signatures for liquor licenses prior to submitting them to the bureau.

All fees must accompany application, make check payable to the Treasurer, State of Maine.

This application must be completed and signed by the Town or City and mailed to:
Bureau of Alcoholic Beverages and Lottery Operations
Division of Liquor Licensing and Enforcement
8 State House Station, Augusta, ME 04333-0008 (Regular address)
10 Water Street, Hallowell, ME 04347 (Overnight address)
Payments by check subject to penalty provided by Title 28A, MRS, Section 3-B.

TO STATE OF MAINE MUNICIPAL OFFICERS & COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:
Hereby certify that we have complied with Section 653 of Title 28-A Maine Revised Statutes and hereby approve said application.

Dated at: ____________________________  Maine ____________________________ (County)
On: _____________________________________________  Date ____________________________
The undersigned being:  ☐ Municipal Officers  ☐ County Commissioners of the
☐ City  ☐ Town  ☐ Plantation  ☐ Unincorporated Place of: ____________________________, Maine

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

THIS APPROVAL EXPIRES IN 60 DAYS

NOTICE – SPECIAL ATTENTION

§653. Hearings; bureau review; appeal

1. Hearings. The municipal officers or, in the case of unincorporated places, the county commissioners of the county in which the unincorporated place is located, may hold a public hearing for the consideration of applications for new on-premises licenses and applications for transfer of location of existing on-premises licenses. The municipal officers or county commissioners may hold a public hearing for the consideration of requests for renewal of licenses, except that when an applicant has held a license for the prior 5 years and a complaint has not been filed against the applicant within that time, the applicant may request a waiver of the hearing.

A. The bureau shall prepare and supply application forms. [1993, c. 730, §27 (AMEND.)]

B. The municipal officers or the county commissioners, as the case may be, shall provide public notice of any hearing held under this section by causing a notice, at the applicant's prepaid expense, stating the name and place of hearing, to appear on at least 3 consecutive days before the date of hearing in a daily newspaper having general circulation in the municipality where the premises are located or one week before the date of the hearing in a weekly newspaper having general circulation in the municipality where the premises are located. [1995, c. 140, §4 (AMEND.)]

C. If the municipal officers or the county commissioners, as the case may be, fail to take final action on an application for a new on-premises license or transfer of the location of an existing on-premises license within 60 days of the filing of an application, the application is deemed approved and ready for action by the bureau. For purposes of this paragraph, the date of filing of the application is the date the application is received by the municipal officers or county commissioners. This paragraph applies to all applications pending before municipal officers or county commissioners as of the effective date of this paragraph as well as all applications filed on or after the effective date of this paragraph. This paragraph applies to an existing on-premises license that has been extended pending renewal. The municipal officers or the county commissioners shall take final action on an on-premises license that has been extended pending renewal within 120 days of the filing of the application. [2003, c. 213, §1 (AMEND.).]
D. If an application is approved by the municipal officers or the county commissioners but the bureau finds, after inspection of the premises and the records of the applicant, that the applicant does not qualify for the class of license applied for, the bureau shall notify the applicant of that fact in writing. The bureau shall give the applicant 30 days to file an amended application for the appropriate class of license, accompanied by any additional license fee, with the municipal officers or county commissioners, as the case may be. If the applicant fails to file an amended application within 30 days, the original application must be denied by the bureau. The bureau shall notify the applicant in writing of its decision to deny the application including the reasons for the denial and the rights of appeal of the applicant. [1995, c. 140, $5 (NEW).] [2003, c. 213, $1 (AMD).]

2. Findings. In granting or denying an application, the municipal officers or the county commissioners shall indicate the reasons for their decision and provide a copy to the applicant. A license may be denied on one or more of the following grounds:
   A. Conviction of the applicant of any Class A, Class B or Class C crime; [1987, c. 45, Pt. A, $4 (NEW).]
   B. Noncompliance of the licensed premises or its use with any local zoning ordinance or other land use ordinance not directly related to liquor control; [1987, c. 45, Pt. A, $4 (NEW).]
   C. Conditions of record such as waste disposal violations, health or safety violations or repeated parking or traffic violations on or in the vicinity of the licensed premises and caused by persons patronizing or employed by the licensed premises or other such conditions caused by persons patronizing or employed by the licensed premises that unreasonably disturb, interfere with or affect the ability of persons or businesses residing or located in the vicinity of the licensed premises to use their property in a reasonable manner; [1993, c. 730, $27 (AMD).]
   D. Repeated incidents of record of breaches of the peace, disorderly conduct, vandalism or other violations of law on or in the vicinity of the licensed premises and caused by persons patronizing or employed by the licensed premises; [1989, c. 592, $3 (AMD).]
   E. A violation of any provision of this Title; [2009, c. 81, $1 (AMD).]
   F. A determination by the municipal officers or county commissioners that the purpose of the application is to circumvent the provisions of section 601; and [2009, c. 81, $2 (AMD).]
   G. After September 1, 2010, server training, in a program certified by the bureau and required by local ordinance, has not been completed by individuals who serve alcoholic beverages. [2009, c. 81, §3 (NEW).]
   [2009, c. 81, §§1-3 (AMD).]

3. Appeal to bureau. Any applicant aggrieved by the decision of the municipal officers or county commissioners under this section may appeal to the bureau within 15 days of the receipt of the written decision of the municipal officers or county commissioners. The bureau shall hold a public hearing in the city, town or unincorporated place where the premises are situated. In acting on such an appeal, the bureau may consider all licensure requirements and findings referred to in subsection 2.
   A. [1993, c. 730, $27 (RP).]
   B. If the decision appealed from is an application denial, the bureau may issue the license only if it finds by clear and convincing evidence that the decision was without justifiable cause. [1993, c. 730, §27 (AMD).]
   [1995, c. 140, §6 (AMD).]

4. No license to person who moved to obtain a license. [1987, c. 342, §32 (RP).]

5. Appeal to District Court. Any person or governmental entity aggrieved by a bureau decision under this section may appeal the decision to the District Court within 30 days of receipt of the written decision of the bureau.
   An applicant who files an appeal or who has an appeal pending shall pay the annual license fee the applicant would otherwise pay. Upon resolution of the appeal, if an applicant's license renewal is denied, the bureau shall refund the applicant the prorated amount of the unused license fee.
   [1995, c. 140, §7 (AMD); 1999, c. 547, Pt. B, §78 (AMD); 1999, c. 547, Pt. B, §80 (AFF).]
ON PREMISE DIAGRAM
(Facility Drawing/ Floor Plan)

In an effort to clearly define your license premise and the area that consumption and storage of liquor is allowed. The Division requires all applicants to submit a diagram of the premise to be licensed in addition to a completed license application.

Diagrams should be submitted on this form and should be as accurate as possible. Be sure to label the areas with the following: • Entrances • Office area • Kitchen • Storage Areas • Dining Rooms • Lounges • Function Rooms • Restrooms • Decks • All Inside and Outside areas that you are requesting approval.

See attached pages for Diagrams
Division of Alcoholic Beverages and Lottery Operations  
Division of Liquor Licensing and Enforcement

Corporate Information Required for Business Entities Who Are Licensees

Questions 1 to 4 must match information on file with the Maine Secretary of State’s office. If you have questions regarding this information, please call the Secretary of State’s office at (207) 624-7752.

Please clearly complete this form in its entirety.

1. Exact legal name: EDCRS, LLC

2. Doing Business As, if any: Water Street Kitchen + Bar

3. Date of filing with Secretary of State: January 22, 2019  
   State in which you are formed: Maine

4. If not a Maine business entity, date on which you were authorized to transact business in the State of Maine:

5. List the name and addresses for previous 5 years, birth dates, titles of officers, directors and list the percentage ownership: (attach additional sheets as needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS (5 YEARS)</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>Ownership %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edward D. Colburn</td>
<td>838 Hendricks Hill Road Southport, ME 04576</td>
<td>9/21/2109</td>
<td>Managing Member</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Owner)</td>
<td>(Prior Address) 165 Tremont Street, Unit 1801 Boston, MA 02111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward D. Colburn</td>
<td>(Prior Address) 57 Commonwealth Avenue Unit 11 Boston, MA 02116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Owner)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Stock ownership in non-publicly traded companies must add up to 100%)

6. If Co-Op # of members: ________________ (list primary officers in the above boxes)
7. Has any principal person involved in the entity ever been convicted of any violation of the law, other than minor traffic violations, in the United States?  □ Yes  □ No

8. If Yes to Question 7, please complete the following: (attached additional sheets as needed)

Name: ____________________________________________

Date of Conviction: __________________________________

Offense: __________________________________________

Location of Conviction: ________________________________

Disposition: _________________________________________

Signature: __________________________________________

PLEASE SIGN IN BLUE INK

_________________________  3-19-19
Signature of Owner or Corporate Officer  Date

Edward D. Colburn
Print Name of Owner or Corporate Officer
Managing Member

Submit Completed Forms to:

Bureau of Alcoholic Beverages
Division of Liquor Licensing and Enforcement
8 State House Station, Augusta, Me 04333-0008 (Regular address)
10 Water Street, Hallowell, ME 04347 (Overnight address)
Telephone Inquiries: (207) 624-7220  Fax: (207) 287-3434
Email Inquiries: MaineLiquor@Maine.gov
To: Wiscasset Board of Selectmen

From: Wiscasset Waterfront Committee

cc: Name

Date: March 14, 2019

Re: Change to all Mooring Applications

WE the Waterfront Committee recommend the following for the 2019 season:

Revising all mooring applications to require proof of insurance on each vessel, to include a towing endorsement. A copy will be available to the Harbormaster, kept on file at the PD.
To:       Workers' Compensation Fund Member

From:   Michael Mayette, CPCU, AU, API, AIC, ANFI, AIAF, AINS, ARC, ALMI, AIRC
        Underwriting Manager, Risk Management Services

Re:     2018 Workers' Compensation Audit

Date:  March 22, 2019

Your cooperation in helping us complete your 2018 Workers' Compensation payroll audit is appreciated. As you are aware, the 2018 contribution was based on estimated payrolls. With your help, we have updated the schedule to reflect the actual payroll for the policy term January 1, 2018 to January 1, 2019. Enclosed you will find your 2018 MMA Workers' Compensation Fund adjusted contribution.

Along with the schedule and invoice (if applicable), we have included a copy of the actual auditor worksheets for your review. Please contact us at 1-800-590-5583 if you have any questions.

If your entity is to receive a return premium adjustment, the check will be enclosed.

Otherwise, please make additional payments directly to the Maine Municipal Association. A self-addressed envelope is included for your convenience.

If no premium adjustment is necessary, the invoice will have "0" balance due.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVOICE NUMBER</th>
<th>INVOICE DATE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>GROSS AMOUNT</th>
<th>DISCOUNT</th>
<th>NET AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45204</td>
<td>3/21/2019</td>
<td>Vchr: VO122370</td>
<td>$10,156.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$10,156.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRINT BATCH: 3,170
VENDOR CODE: 15190
PAY TO NAME: TOWN OF WISCASSET
NET TOTAL: $10,156.00
TO: Town of Wiscasset

FROM: Denise Kolreg, MMA Unemployment Compensation Fund Coordinator

DATE: March 14, 2019

RE: Individual 2018 UC Fund Balance Report

Listed below are income and expenses that affected your account in the MMA Unemployment Compensation (UC) Group Fund in the year 2018. The MMA UC Fund year witnessed a decrease in unemployment claims for Fund Year 2018. Due to the success of the Fund we were able to return $400,000 to members by the UC Fund in the form of dividend checks and/or excess balance credit. Please note that the data denoted doesn’t reflect any payments or claims incurred in 2019.

**YOUR 2018 UC FUND BALANCE REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 31, 2017</td>
<td>$7,821.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2018 UC Fund Contribution (gross)</td>
<td>$13,036.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Excess Balance (credited to 2019 contribution)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Dividend (distributed by check 12/2017)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2018 Claims Paid</td>
<td>$774.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2018 Pro Rate Share of Fund Expenses</td>
<td>$3,522.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2018 Interest Earned</td>
<td>$268.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 31, 2018</td>
<td>$16,829.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you have any questions regarding this information, please don’t hesitate to contact me. My direct number is (207) 624-0192 or (800) 452-8786 x2237 or email dkolreg@memun.org. We appreciate your support of the MMA UC Fund.
Evan Emmott
evanemmott@gmail.com
207 790 2047

He can make replacement windows for Town Clock Tower — has worked for Leslie Roberts of the HPC
Annual Maintenance Agreement

Between Balzer Family Clock Works and Town of Wiscasset for the E. Howard town tower clock in Wiscasset, Maine, for the year 2019.

Scope of Work:
- Remove all the bushings within the clock movement and clean of all dirt and oil
- Clean each pivot of all oil and dirt
- Examine for wear, assemble and oil
- Oil at oil port between the great wheel and its hub
- Oil pivots of the great wheel pawl and pawl tips
- Oil the shaft of the maintaining power pawl and pawl tips
- Oil the surface between the maintaining power wheel/ratchet wheel
- Check each pin and screw in complete system for secureness
- Oil all pulleys at oil port.
- Examine expansion units and adjust if necessary
- Examine weight cable for wear and secureness
- Examine dial gears and oil
- Examine bevel geared differential and oil.

Cost.................................................................................................................*$950.00

*Labor only. Any components that may be required (example: wire rope cable, auto wind parts, etc.) will be listed separately and in addition to the "Cost".

Maintenance Agreement must be received by July 1st to be put on the 2019 schedule

For: Town of Wiscasset
Date: __________

For: Balzer Family Clock Works
Date: 8-28-19
BUSINESS LICENSE APPLICATION

Every person, firm, corporation, LLC, professional association or partnership doing business within the Town of Wiscasset must complete this Application.

Name/Title of Business: White Pine Home

New Business ✔ Existing Business 4 years in operation Ownership/Location Change:

Location of business: 57 Washington Street, Wiscasset, ME 04578

Preferred mailing address: Same as above

Business phone number: 978.687.1849

Description of business: Retail

Owner's name: Betsy Kyle

Owner's home address: 57 Washington St, Wiscasset, ME 04578

Owner's telephone number: 978.687.1849

*Emergency contact person: keo Edgar

*Emergency phone numbers: home: 978.687.1849 cell: 978.993.1588

*This information will be shared with 911 so you can be contacted in case of after hour emergencies.

NEW BUSINESSES ONLY COMPLETE BELOW INFORMATION

Have you seen the Code Enforcement Officer and Town Planner for approval? No

Will you need a sign permit? Yes

Will this business be a home occupation? Yes

This business will be a: Corporation or LLC ✔ Partnership Sole proprietor

Would you like a link to your business placed on the Town of Wiscasset Website? Yes □ No □

Provide e-mail and/or web address: whitepinehome.com whitepinehome@gmail.com

Please be aware that State licenses and permits may be required. This application must be updated annually with the Town of Wiscasset.

I, Elizabeth Askage, state that I am sole proprietor of the above name firm or business, and make oath that the information stated above is true and I am aware that all applicable local, state and federal ordinances, laws, rules, and regulations must be complied with before this License can be issued.

Date: March 5, 2019

Signature: Elizabeth Askage

TOWN CLERK

DATE RECEIVED: DATE APPROVED: ASSESSING: WEB/INT.
BUSINESS LICENSE APPLICATION-NEW

Business Requesting License: White Pine Home

Code Enforcement Officer:

Comments: None

Signed: [Signature] Dated: 3-12-19

Wiscasset Police:

Comments: None

Signed: [Signature] Dated: 3-12-19

Planning Department:

Comments:

Signed: Dated:

Fire Department:

Comments: No concerns

Signed: [Signature] Dated: 3/13/19

License Approved: Dated:
Dear Wiscasset Board of Selectmen,

As Easter and other signs of spring approach, I wish to request once again, on behalf of the First Congregational Church UCC and St. Philip's Episcopal Church, use of the town pier for our Community Easter Sunrise Service on Sunday, April 21st at 6:00 am. This service continues to draw members from both congregations, as well as members of the wider community, to experience the joys of Easter morning at this most beautiful location in our village. We look forward to continuing this tradition again this year.

Thank you for your consideration! If you have any questions, please be in touch with me at 207-882-7544 or joshfitterling@myfairpoint.net.

Peace,
Rev. Josh Fitterling

---
Rev. Josh Fitterling, Minister
First Congregational Church of Wiscasset, Maine
An Open & Affirming Congregation of the United Church of Christ
28 High Street, P.O. Box 350
Wiscasset, ME 04578
Office: 207-882-7544
Cell: 610-750-2688
March 11, 2019

Board of Selectmen
Town of Wiscasset
Wiscasset, Maine 04578

Dear Select Board,

On behalf of the Summerfest Committee of the First Congregational Church of Wiscasset, we are requesting permission for exclusive use of the Town Common for this year's event. The Fair will take place from 10:00 am until 2:00 pm on Saturday, July 27, 2019. We will begin setting up early Saturday morning and be cleaned up by 5:00 pm that afternoon.

We also request that you allow us to close off the road between the Court House and the Church on Saturday, July 27, 2019 from 7:00 am until 4:00 pm.

And, in the event that we are able to obtain a large donation for our Silent Auction (like a small sailboat), we are asking your permission to display the item on the Town Common along with our street signs from July 4, 2019 to July 27, 2019.

All of the people associated with the First Congregational Church of Wiscasset appreciate the many years that we have been able to hold Summerfest on the Common and we look forward to another successful year. As you know, all of the proceeds of the fair are donated to local non-profit organizations that assist area residents.

We thank you for this consideration and invite you all to come and enjoy the fair.

Sincerely,
Beth Maxwell
Ed Peele
Summerfest Co-chairs

[Signatures]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Task Mode</th>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Finish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catch Basins + Pipe Main St.</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>Sun 3/31/19</td>
<td>Mon 4/15/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Light Bases Main St.</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Sun 3/31/19</td>
<td>Mon 4/1/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ped. Pedestal Bases</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Mon 4/1/19</td>
<td>Fri 4/5/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mast Arm Foundations</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>Fri 4/5/19</td>
<td>Tue 4/18/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conduit</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Tue 4/16/19</td>
<td>Fri 4/19/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mill Prep, Lower Structures</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>Mon 4/15/19</td>
<td>Tue 4/23/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mill Main St, Water St</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Tue 4/23/19</td>
<td>Thu 4/25/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Common Ex Main St.</td>
<td>21 days</td>
<td>Sun 4/28/19</td>
<td>Fri 5/24/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agr. Subbase Course</td>
<td>11 days</td>
<td>Tue 5/22/19</td>
<td>Tue 6/11/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rough Grade / Fine Grade</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Fri 5/24/19</td>
<td>Tue 5/28/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Base Pave every Thursday</td>
<td>26 days</td>
<td>Thu 5/2/19</td>
<td>Thu 6/6/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memorial Day No Work</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Fri 5/24/19</td>
<td>Tue 5/28/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Common Ex Main St.</td>
<td>11 days</td>
<td>Tue 5/28/19</td>
<td>Tue 6/11/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agr. Subbase Course</td>
<td>11 days</td>
<td>Tue 5/28/19</td>
<td>Tue 6/11/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rough Grade / Fine Grade</td>
<td>11 days</td>
<td>Tue 5/28/19</td>
<td>Tue 6/11/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Base + Intermediate HMA</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>Tue 6/11/19</td>
<td>Thu 6/13/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Curb</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Sun 6/16/19</td>
<td>Thu 6/27/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Build, Grade sidewalks</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>Mon 6/17/19</td>
<td>Thu 7/4/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Base Sidewalks</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Tue 7/2/19</td>
<td>Wed 7/3/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Independence Day No Work</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Wed 7/3/19</td>
<td>Sun 7/7/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brick Sidewalks</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>Sun 7/7/19</td>
<td>Mon 7/15/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ralse Structures</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Thu 7/18/19</td>
<td>Thu 7/18/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pave Intermediate, Surface</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Sun 7/21/19</td>
<td>Wed 7/24/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface RvR Ave</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Wed 7/24/19</td>
<td>Thu 7/25/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Striping</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Sun 7/28/19</td>
<td>Tue 7/30/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>Granite Seating</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>Sat 8/3/19</td>
<td>Mon 8/12/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>Masonry Work</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Mon 8/5/19</td>
<td>Thu 8/8/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Repointing</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Thu 8/8/19</td>
<td>Mon 8/12/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Detectable Fields</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Mon 8/12/19</td>
<td>Thu 8/15/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hand Rails</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Sun 8/18/19</td>
<td>Tue 8/20/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mast Arms + Traffic Lights</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>Sun 8/18/19</td>
<td>Mon 8/26/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>RRBS</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Mon 8/26/19</td>
<td>Tue 8/27/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>Main St Lighting</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Tue 8/27/19</td>
<td>Fri 8/30/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Labor Day No Work</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/30/19</td>
<td>Tue 9/3/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plantings</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Tue 9/3/19</td>
<td>Thu 9/5/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Signage Main St</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Thu 9/5/19</td>
<td>Thu 9/5/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>Added Water St Work</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Tue 9/9/19</td>
<td>Sun 9/15/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pave Water St</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Sun 9/15/19</td>
<td>Mon 9/16/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pave Spragues</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Mon 9/16/19</td>
<td>Tue 9/17/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chain Link Fence</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Sun 9/15/19</td>
<td>Mon 9/16/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>Demob</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Sun 9/15/19</td>
<td>Thu 9/19/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>Columbus Day No Work</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Fri 10/11/19</td>
<td>Tue 10/15/19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Municipal Quitclaim Deed without Covenants

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET a body, corporate and politic located in LINCOLN County, State of Maine, for consideration paid on April 2, 2019, release to JONES, CARROLL M. of 848 GARDINER ROAD WISCASSET, ME 04578 a certain parcel of land with buildings thereon, if any, located in the Municipality of WISCASSET, LINCOLN, County, State of Maine, identified as follows:

Map R03-054-003-001 on the Tax Maps of the Municipality of WISCASSET, prepared by John E. O'Donnell & Associates and dated April 1, 2017 on file in the Office of the Assessors at 51 Bath Road, Wiscasset, Maine. The Municipality of WISCASSET has acquired its interest in said parcel of land through automatic foreclosure of property tax lien(s) recorded in the Lincoln County Registry of Deeds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Book and page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 11, 2017</td>
<td>5167/188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 20, 2018</td>
<td>5306/226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The said Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET have caused this instrument to be signed in its corporate name by its Board of Selectmen, duly authorized.

Witness our hands and seals this 2nd of April, 2019 Board of Selectmen, Wiscasset, Maine

__________________________________________  ______________________________
Judith R. Colby, Chair                       Benjamin L. Rines, Jr.

__________________________________________  ______________________________
Robert L. Blagden                             Katharine G. Martin-Savage

__________________________________________  ______________________________
Kimberly H. Andersson                        2nd of April, 2019

STATE OF MAINE
COUNTY OF LINCOLN, ss

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named BOARD OF SELECTMEN in his/her capacity as Selectman of the Town of Wiscasset, Maine and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his/her free act and deed in his/her said capacity and the free act and deed of said Town.

__________________________________________
ELLIN L. JASMIN, Notary Public
My commission expires: December 05, 2020
Municipal Quitclaim Deed without Covenants

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET a body, corporate and politic located in LINCOLN County, State of Maine, for consideration paid on April 2, 2019, release to PATTERSON, SAM of 1091 COMMERCIAL STREET ROCKPORT, ME 04856-3803 a certain parcel of land with buildings thereon, if any, located in the Municipality of WISCASSET, LINCOLN, County, State of Maine, identified as follows:

Map R07-039-019 on the Tax Maps of the Municipality of WISCASSET, prepared by John E. O'Donnell & Associates and dated April 1, 2017 on file in the Office of the Assessors at 51 Bath Road, Wiscasset, Maine. The Municipality of WISCASSET has acquired its interest in said parcel of land through automatic foreclosure of property tax lien(s) recorded in the Lincoln County Registry of Deeds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Book and page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 11, 2017</td>
<td>5167/209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 20, 2018</td>
<td>5306/249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The said Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET have caused this instrument to be signed in its corporate name by its Board of Selectmen, duly authorized.

Witness our hands and seals this 2nd of April, 2019 Board of Selectmen, Wiscasset, Maine

__________________________
Judith R. Colby, Chair

__________________________
Robert L. Blagden

__________________________
Kimberly H. Andersson

__________________________
Benjamin L. Rines, Jr.

__________________________
Katharine G. Martin-Savage

STATE OF MAINE
COUNTY OF LINCOLN, ss

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named BOARD OF SELECTMEN in his/her capacity as Selectman of the Town of Wiscasset, Maine and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his/her free act and deed in his/her said capacity and the free act and deed of said Town.

__________________________
ELLIN L. JASMIN, Notary Public
My commission expires: December 05, 2020
Municipal Quitclaim Deed without Covenants

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET a body, corporate and politic located in LINCOLN County, State of Maine, for consideration paid on April 2, 2019, release to RINES, GILBERT H., of 35 FOWLE HILL ROAD WISCASSET, ME 04578 a certain parcel of land with buildings thereon, if any, located in the Municipality of WISCASSET, LINCOLN, County, State of Maine, identified as follows:

Map R05-051-A2 on the Tax Maps of the Municipality of WISCASSET, prepared by John E. O’Donnell & Associates and dated April 1, 2017 on file in the Office of the Assessors at 51 Bath Road, Wiscasset, Maine. The Municipality of WISCASSET has acquired its interest in said parcel of land through automatic foreclosure of property tax lien(s) recorded in the Lincoln County Registry of Deeds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Book and page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 20, 2010</td>
<td>4297/272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 18, 2011</td>
<td>4418/300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 23, 2012</td>
<td>4548/189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 19, 2013</td>
<td>4688/225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 23, 2014</td>
<td>4802/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 29, 2015</td>
<td>4901/268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 27, 2016</td>
<td>5033/235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 11, 2017</td>
<td>5167/224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 20, 2018</td>
<td>5306/261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The said Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET have caused this instrument to be signed in its corporate name by its Board of Selectmen, duly authorized.

Witness our hands and seals this 2nd of April, 2019 Board of Selectmen, Wiscasset, Maine

__________________________  ____________________________
Judith R. Colby, Chair       Benjamin L. Rines, Jr.

__________________________  ____________________________
Robert L. Blagden            Katharine G. Martin-Savage

__________________________
Kimberly H. Andersson

STATE OF MAINE
COUNTY OF LINCOLN, ss

2nd of April, 2019

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named BOARD OF SELECTMEN in his/her capacity as Selectman of the Town of Wiscasset, Maine and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his/her free act and deed in his/her said capacity and the free act and deed of said Town.

__________________________
ELLIN L. JASMIN, Notary Public
My commission expires: December 05, 2020
Municipal Quitclaim Deed without Covenants

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET a body, corporate and politic located in LINCOLN County, State of Maine, for consideration paid on April 2, 2019, release to CONNORS, SCOTT of 118 OLD DRESDEN ROAD WISCASSET, ME 04578 a certain parcel of land with buildings thereon, if any, located in the Municipality of WISCASSET, LINCOLN County, State of Maine, identified as follows:

Map R01-035-C02 on the Tax Maps of the Municipality of WISCASSET, prepared by John E. O'Donnell & Associates and dated April 1, 2017 on file in the Office of the Assessors at 51 Bath Road, Wiscasset, Maine. The Municipality of WISCASSET has acquired its interest in said parcel of land through automatic foreclosure of property tax liens(s) recorded in the Lincoln County Registry of Deeds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Book and page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 23, 2014</td>
<td>4901/272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 29, 2015</td>
<td>4901/156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 27, 2016</td>
<td>5033/139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 11, 2017</td>
<td>5167/128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 20, 2018</td>
<td>5306/174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The said Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET have caused this instrument to be signed in its corporate name by its Board of Selectmen, duly authorized.

Witness our hands and seals this 2nd of April, 2019 Board of Selectmen, Wiscasset, Maine

_________________________       _____________________________
Judith R. Colby, Chair       Benjamin L. Rines, Jr.

_________________________
Robert L. Blagden

_________________________
Kimberly H. Andersson

STATE OF MAINE
COUNTY OF LINCOLN, ss
2nd of April, 2019

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named BOARD OF SELECTMEN in his/her capacity as Selectman of the Town of Wiscasset, Maine and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his/her free act and deed in his/her said capacity and the free act and deed of said Town.

_________________________
ELLIN L. JASMIN, Notary Public
My commission expires: December 05, 2020
Municipal Quitclaim Deed without Covenants

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET a body, corporate and politic located in LINCOLN County, State of Maine, for consideration paid on April 2, 2019, release to WADE, JOSEPH of 970 GARDINER ROAD LOT #6 WISCASSET, ME 04578 a certain parcel of land with buildings thereon, if any, located in the Municipality of WISCASSET, LINCOLN, County, State of Maine, identified as follows:

Map R05-058-E "ON" on the Tax Maps of the Municipality of WISCASSET, prepared by John E. O'Donnell & Associates and dated April 1, 2017 on file in the Office of the Assessors at 51 Bath Road, Wiscasset, Maine. The Municipality of WISCASSET has acquired its interest in said parcel of land through automatic foreclosure of property tax lien(s) recorded in the Lincoln County Registry of Deeds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Book and page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 29, 2015</td>
<td>4901/289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 27, 2016</td>
<td>5033/254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The said Inhabitants of the Municipality of WISCASSET have caused this instrument to be signed in its corporate name by its Board of Selectmen, duly authorized.

Witness our hands and seals this 2nd of April, 2019 Board of Selectmen, Wiscasset, Maine

Judith R. Colby, Chair

Robert L. Blagden

Kimberly H. Andersson

Benjamin L. Rines, Jr.

Katharine G. Martin-Savage

STATE OF MAINE
COUNTY OF LINCOLN, ss

2nd of April, 2019

PERSONALLY APPEARED the above named BOARD OF SELECTMEN in his/her capacity as Selectman of the Town of Wiscasset, Maine and acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be his/her free act and deed in his/her said capacity and the free act and deed of said Town.

ELLIN L. JASMIN, Notary Public
My commission expires: December 05, 2020
MEETING NOTICE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
April 4, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. Wiscasset Town Hall Meeting Room

1. APPLICANT: Town of Wiscasset
   PROPOSAL: Replace existing wood fence
   REQUEST: Certificate of Appropriateness
   LOCATION: Federal Street, Map U02, Lot n/a (Ancient Cemetery)

According the Historic Preservation Ordinance the Town of Wiscasset is required to send Meeting Notices to abutting property owners of Certificate of Appropriateness applicants. You are hereby given meeting notice because your property is within 100 feet of the above location. Interested parties are invited to attend the meeting to express their views on the proposed project.
MEETING NOTICE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
April 4, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. Wiscasset Town Hall Meeting Room

1. APPLICANT: Ed Colburn
   PROPOSAL: New Entry to existing restaurant/replace existing stairs
   REQUEST: Certificate of Appropriateness
   LOCATION: 15 Water Street, Map U01 Lot 70

According the Historic Preservation Ordinance the Town of Wiscasset is required to send Meeting Notices to abutting property owners of Certificate of Appropriateness applicants. You are hereby given meeting notice because your property is within 100 feet of the above location. Interested parties are invited to attend the meeting to express their views on the proposed project.
2019 "William V. Haskell" Distinguished Professional of the Year Award Winner
Lisa Thompson, Director, Wiscasset Parks & Recreation

2019 "William V. Haskell" Distinguished Professional of the Year Award Winner
- Lisa Thompson, Director, Wiscasset Parks & Recreation

"William V. Haskell" Distinguished Professional of the Year Award

William V. Haskell served the MRPA from its inception and was director of the Presque Isle Parks and Recreation Department from 1946-1981.

- Employment History/Experience: The nominee should have a minimum of ten years working within the Recreation Field and at least a three-year period should have been within the state of Maine.
- Education and Certification: The candidate should have a degree in Recreation, or a related field. Additional consideration will be given to those professionals who have earned higher degrees and/or who have become certified in Parks & Recreation or Therapeutic Recreation.
- Professional Affiliation: Candidates must maintain membership in the MRPA. Any additional affiliations, such as NRPA, will hold value.
- Leadership Contribution: Candidates should display qualities of leadership in MRPA and/or NRPA by serving on the Executive Board or by making other professional contributions such as a committee chairperson or committee member.

https://www.merpa.org/professional-members/association-awards/
• Administrative & Program Development: Candidate should have demonstrated outstanding performance on a community level, through innovative programming, facility development and civic involvement